I. Opening
   A. Call to Order
      1. Chair Hotz: I call this meeting to order at 5:30 PM
      2. MOTION to move out of Parli Pro
         a) MOTION is seconded and passed
      3. Pre-S&R:
         a) Senators discuss business for the evening
            (1) Bill 12F: Pretty self-explanatory
            (2) Most bills are designed to fix the formatting so the lawbook is consistent
            (3) Discussion about whether or not an advertisement in the DailyLobo is required or not for elections
               (a) We should be supporting our student organizations, but there is controversy about whether or not we want to require this, and allow the election commission to make that decision
            (4) Discussion about changing the JMA Scholarship date to push it forward in the semester.
            (5) New Scholarship would be an avenue for students to propose an issue they are passionate about and how they are working to change that. This would be
an essay submitted, and would be two, $1,000 scholarships per semester
(a) This money would be funded through the ASUNM budget to afford this scholarship
(6) Bill 16F: Describing the outline of the scholarship, similar to previous ones
(a) 750 word minimum, makes it long enough so the student can write about the issue they're passionate about thoroughly, without it being as short as a discussion post
(7) Bill 17F: Adds scholarship committee definition into the law book definition seconds. The S&R and Finance Committee Chairs are now on this committee as well.
(8) Bill 18F: Cuts funding for Daily Lobo.
(a) Don’t necessarily agree, but should that determine whether or not we pass it

4. Sen. Regalado: MOTION to move back to Parli Pro
   a) MOTION is seconded and passed
5. Sen. Regalado: MOTION to recess until 6:00 PM
B. Chair Hotz: I call this meeting to order at 6PM
C. Roll Call
   1. All Senators present except Senator McKim
D. Approval of Minutes
   1. MOTION to approve the minutes
      a) MOTION is seconded and passed
E. Approval of Agenda
   1. MOTION to approve the agenda
      a) MOTION is seconded and passed

II. Preliminary Business
A. Chair
   1. Chair Hotz: I commend you for putting through a lot of business this week. If you want another senator that is not in this committee to present a bill, you can raise your placard and then yield your item.
B. Public Comment
   1. President Biederwolf: I want to commend Sen. Regalado for building this scholarship to get more perspectives about campus issues. One thing to note, from my perspective, I asked Greg, and since this scholarship is going to be recurring, since we do not know what our financial situation will be next year, I would suggest possibly lessening the amount, or making it once a year, not once a semester. This is just something to think about and I commend this bill.
C. Members of the Committee
1. Sen. Reglado: We have a lot of business to go over, and I think it is important to have good discussion, especially on controversial bills.

III. New Business
   A. Bill 12F
      1. MOTION to open
         a) MOTION is seconded and passed
      2. Authorship:
         a) Sen. Torres: This is a title change in the Lawbook, to follow the same formatting.
      3. Questions:
         a) No Questions
      4. Discussion:
         a) Sen. Aldrich: MOTION to add (20) on line 29
            (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
         b) Sen. Aldrich: MOTION to add (1) on line 29
            (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
         c) Sen. Reglado: Great catches. I think this is an appropriate title and it looks good.
      5. Sen. Varela: MOTION to vote
         a) MOTION is seconded and passed
      6. Bill 12F Passes with a vote of 4-0-0-1
   B. Bill 13F
      1. MOTION to open
         a) MOTION is seconded and passed
      2. Authorship:
         a) Sen. Regalado: This adds a title to section one and fixes a misspelling of “president” in section 1
      3. Questions:
         a) No questions
      4. Discussion:
         a) Sen. Aldrich: I think this bill looks good
         b) Sen. Aldrich: I was just looking at 1,2,3, and 4, and before 4 it says “the marketing director” etc. so we might want to add consistency to line item 3
         c) Sen. Varela: Sweeping MOTION to strike the “.” and add “;” in line items 1 and 2 in Section 1.
            (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
         d) Sen. Regalado: For me, if you are writing a list in a paragraph, you use semicolons, so I am not sure if we need punctuation or not.
         e) Sen. Aldrich: I think the semi-colons are fine because that's how it is in the rest of the lawbook.
         f) Chair Hotz: For section 1, it's all semicolons and then a period, which breaks down the continuous sentence.
g) Sen. Aldrich: MOTION to add “the” in front of event chairs in line 3 of section 2
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
h) Chair Hotz: Under section 4, number 4, subsection 1, it reads chair(s)
i) Sen. Aldrich: MOTION to add ( ) around “s” in line item 3 of Section 2.
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
j) MOTION to move back into questions
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
k) Sen. Varela: Does Lobo Spirit have multiple event chairs?
   (1) Sen. Torres: There are different chairs, but I do not believe that the volunteer coordinator is listed
   (2) Sen. Reglado: I think there is a chair for the different Lobo Spirit events, ie. Red Rally, Homecoming, etc.
l) Sen. Varela: If there are chairs for multiple events, we should name this the “Events chairs”, but should be talked about further.
m) MOTION to move into Discussion
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
n) Sen. Regalado: I think we should strike the parentheses, because it is describing the plural, chairs, but leave it on the second instance as it could be referring to one or two.
o) Sen. Neal: I agree with previous senators
p) Sen. Regalado: I think we should probably strike the s, as there is only one Event Chair.
q) Sen. Aldrich: I think we should strike the “s” as there is only one Event Chair as well. I would like to hear discussion on failing this, and re-introducing the bill with appropriate changes as it seems a position has been left out.
r) Sen Varela: If someone knows the responsibilities, then we should do it now.
s) Sen. Regalado: I feel comfortable passing this to change the title and misspelling, and talking to Lobo Spirit more about the content
t) Sen. Varela: Under section 2, sub-section 3, sub sub-section 2 shows a specificity, and by including other organizations, we can widen this.
u) Sen. Aldrich: I think they listed these two groups because they consistently work with them, and other student organizations may differ between years. I think we should pass this after striking the “s” and then talk to Lobo Spirit.
v) Sen. Regalado: Will there ever be a time where there are more than one Event Coordinators?
(1) Sen. Torres: No
w) Sen. Regalado: MOTION to strike (s) in line 3 of section 2
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
x) Sen. Regalado: MOTION to strike (s) in line item 1, of subsection 4 in section 2.
   (1) MOTION is seconded
   (a) Sen. Aldrich: I think this can be left
   (b) Sen. Regalado: I think we could add “the”
   (c) Sen. Aldrich: I think we should leave this because it allows for there to be more collaboration, and not limit it to just one.
   (d) Sen. Regalado: I believe this is the same because it is the Lobo Spirit Event Chair, and is not referring to any other Agency event chair.
   (e) Sen. Varela: Also, this will not limit anything, but it is making it so that relationship must be developed
   (f) Sen. Varela: Friendly amendment to add “the” in front of “event” in line item 1 of subsection 4 of section 2.
   (g) MOTION passes
y) Sen. Regalado: We should keep with the intent of this bill to change the title. I think we should meet with Lobo Spirit still, but looks good now.
z) MOTION to vote
   (1) MOTION is seconded dna passed
aa) Bill 13F is passed with a vote of 3-1-0-1

C. Bill 14F
   1. MOTION to open
      a) MOTION is seconded and passed
   2. Authorship:
      a) Sen. Regalado; This bill is designed to add section titles, we were unsure about section 3 and section 7.
   3. Questions:
      a) No Questions
   4. Discussion:
      a) Sen. Aldrich: I think it looks good and that section 3 title makes sense, and that all the other titles look good.
      c) Sen. Neal: MOTION to vote
         (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
      d) Bill 14F is passed with a vote of 4-0-0-1

D. Bill 15F
1. MOTION to open
   a) MOTION is seconded and passed
2. Authorship:
   a) Sen. Regalado: This makes some changes for the JMA scholarship. This adds titles, changes some grammatical issues. We struck Section 2, which is described in a different bill in the definition code. We struck out the Daily Lobo Advertisement requirement, but this may change in Full Senate. This also moves out the time of the scholarship, so students are awarded these earlier on. Since we took out the definition of the scholarship committee, each section got renumbered.
3. Questions:
4. Discussion:
   a) Sen. Neal: I like the idea that this pushes up the time frame.
   b) Sen Varela: I think it is necessary to advertise this in the Daily Lobo because it reaches many different people, especially because its a scholarship.
   c) Sen. Aldrich: I think we are still unclear about whether this bill passed in the past or not, I think this gives the commission the discretion to make this decision on how they want to advertise this or not.
   d) Sen. Regalado: I think it is a good idea to advertise in the Daily Lobo, and I think it would keep consistency to strike this part. I think the Daily Lobo is a good place to advertise, but we should not constrict ourselves.
   e) Sen. Varela: I would like some clarification about what the past bill said. I understand that we want the option to not limit ourselves, but because this is going to directly affect people, it will be more beneficial to advertise in this way.
   f) Sen. Varela: MOTION to add “ in the NM Daily Lobo” in the second line of section 2
      (1) MOTION is NOT seconded
   g) Sen. Regalado: If this was vetoed, we should leave it, but we do not know for sure, so we should leave it as is, until we get further clarification.
   h) Sen. Varela: What does consistency mean in this then?
   i) Sen. Aldrich: I think that because this requirement was taken out in another part of the law book, it should not be in this section, this leaves room for misunderstandings. I personally think they should advertise in the Daily Lobo, but this should be under their discretion. Voting has been really low, so maybe this was not working, so this allows them to use different ways of advertising.
j) Sen. Neal: I agree with previous senators to leave it struck and if it happens that the previous bill was vetoed, we can still change it next week.

k) Sen. Varela: As a student, I don't think consistency is a priority. I think them being able to see something that could benefit them is important. This is not limiting anything, but is ensuring that students know about this. If we leave it up to future leaderships, that's very hopeful, people forget to do things, and if it is not there, it may be forgotten.

l) Sen. Aldrich: I think there is confusion as far as what the previous bill last semester says, which takes out the requirement to take out the requirement to advertise in the Daily Lobo. I don't think this is for the time now, we should focus on the author's intent, and we should discuss this once we know more. I think there should be discussion, but that part of the decision making is the last senate discussion. My point of view would be to pass this and see what happens in Full Senate. I think it's important but there are also other avenues.

m) Sen. Neal: I think this needs a whole senate discussion

n) Sen. Regalado: I think this is an issue from last semester as well. That is not the intent of this bill, and only made this change to reflect how it is in the lawbook currently.

o) Sen. Varela: This is not causing inconsistencies, just because one bill does not require advertisement the Daily Lobo does not mean it cannot be used for something else. I think this is a tactic that should be required to reach students.

p) Sen. Regalado: This does still have the requirement to advertise, but not in the Daily Lobo. We should discuss the rest of the bill as well.

q) Sen. Aldrich: Because it must be advertised, it lists the Daily Lobo as an option, it will still be listed and is in the law books as a form of advertisement.

r) MOTION to vote
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed

s) Bill 15F passed with a vote of 4-0-0-1

E. Bill 16F
   1. MOTION to open
      a) MOTION is seconded and passed

   2. Authorship:
      a) Sen. Regalado: This bill is outlining a new scholarship and will become a new part of the lawbook. I am open to a discussion on the financial aspect. This requires students to write a 750 word minimum essay, which would present a
campus issue that will reach Senate and the President. This is structured similarly to JMA.

3. Questions:
   a) No question

4. Discussion:
   a) Sen. Neal: I love this bill, especially because it is giving back to the students. I appreciate that this allows students to talk about an issue they're passionate about on campus. I am okay with passing this as is, but am also hopeful that we will not be in a budget crisis next year
   b) Sen. Aldrich: MOTION to add “S” in the last line Article for “Senate”
      (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
   c) Sen. Aldrich: This is really cool and really gives us an opportunity to hear the voices of the students. I think the financial aspect is important. I think this bill is good as is, and an idea might be to table this to write a resolution, and then bring it back with funding. I am not sure.
   d) Sen. Reglado: An idea would be to add a clause that would allow us to add a stipulation to make the scholarship dependent on student fees.
   e) Sen. Varela: I like that idea, I also think this could be addressed in Section 4, with the potential to award more or fewer scholarship in a given semester.
   f) Sen. Aldrich: I was also curious about the “or more” section. I would also consider having this take effect in the Fall of 2020, so we could put this in a budget.
   g) Sen. Regalado: That section (4) was taken from the other scholarship outline, and this could be dependent on the number of applicants and funds. I would consider adding in another section under section 2, so we can address this financial situation.
   h) Sen. Aldrich: I am wondering what the author would suggest, to fail this, and submit a new bill, or adding a new section during Full Senate Next week.
   i) Sen. Varela: MOTION to move out of Parli Pro
      (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
      (a) Senators discuss what to do with this bill:
         (i) Sen. Regalado: If we do not get an increased student fee, the VP will often allocate money for scholarships.
         (ii) Sen. Aldrich: We do not know if we will have the funding, so we should enact this in the Fall of 2020.
Sen. Regalado: Would be beneficial to wait until Full Senate so that we can all talk and draft up some changes, for Full Senate.

j) MOTION to move back into Parli Pro
   (a) MOTION is seconded and passed

k) Sen. Aldrich: Sweeping MOTION to underline sections 1-6
   (a) MOTION is seconded and passed

l) Sen. Regalado: We have decided to write a motion outside of committee to introduce at Full Senate, so that this will not be funded if we do not have the funds.

m) Sen. Neal: MOTION to vote
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed

n) Bill 16F passed with a vote of 4-0-0-1

F. Bill 17F
1. MOTION to open
   a) MOTION is seconded and passed

2. Authorship:
   a) Sen. Regalado: This is adding scholarship committee into the definitions code, as it has been taken out of the other scholarships.

3. Questions:

4. Discussion:
   a) Sen. Aldrich: I think this was a good decision, because now it can be addressed without it being defined every time. I agree with the additions of the other two committee chairs.
   b) MOTION to vote
      (1) MOTION is seconded and passed
   c) Bill 17F passes with a vote of 4-0-0-1

G. Bill 18F
1. MOTION to open
   a) MOTION is seconded and passed

2. Authorship:
   a) Sen. Regalado: I yield my time to Senator Zank
      (1) Senator Zank: After the financial crisis, I started looking at ways to make us more financially responsible. I do believe that for ASUNM, we need to fund our student organization and ASUNM. After meeting with them, I never made it clear whether or not I was going to write this, but I think this is the best course of objectives. I want to clarify that although I did work at the Daily Lobo, there are no personal feelings. I agree with free press. The first thing I want to talk about is the amount of people who work there. In fiscal year 18, this is a report i
got from them at the meeting. The average amount of people employed by a student newspaper is 67.3, the Daily Lobo employees 95 people and their biggest budget comes from student salaries and professional salaries. The actual expenses for printing/copying is only $64,000 estimated for fiscal year 20 while it was $109,000 for student salaries and $112,000 for professional salaries. For supplies they are only spending about $8,000. For me, when I look at this, I see fiscal irresponsibility. I was also surprised when I got a copy of the Daily Lobo mailed to my house, I think this is misspending as we can get it in other places. For me, it is important to look at where this money is going. I think that there is misspending within the Daily Lobo, and they are constantly in a deficit. The money they got from ASUNM has been $56,000 since Fiscal Year 16, which is 8.5%. Some of this goes to Conceptions Southwest and some goes to the Best Student Essays. I think that whether or not we have an increased student fee, we need to be more responsible. I also think that this newspaper can find funding elsewhere. I want to clarify that although the Senators on this committee may have their own personal opinions regarding the bill, I would like to see this bill passed so it can go onto the Senate floor for full debate. I think this is a discussion we all need to have. Cutting things is not easy and I wish we could give everyone more than they ask for, but unfortunately that's not how it works. Unfortunately newspapers are dying and I think we need to uphold them, but I don't think we should be continually giving money to organizations that are losing money. The entire university is losing money, this is not a one sided issue and I'm not placing any blame on the paper, times are tough, we just need to be fiscally conservative. I think that's everything for me, I will clarify that I am doing this because I think it is best for ASUNM and ASUNM senate, not based on my personal opinions.

3. Questions:
   a) Sen. Aldrich: What do you think about making an amendment to this, rather than striking the entire section?
      So, I am wondering what your opinion would be on that?
(1) Sen. Zank: I would be open to an amendment, and considered reducing this, but thought it would be better to cut it all together. I think once we start debating how much, it will be difficult. The 8.5% was developed when we were in a similar spot and was voted on, but I want to make sure that if we are doing this it is done in a sound way.

b) Sen. Aldrich: How many people/senators did you talk to?

(1) Sen. Zank: Being at UNM and having experience as a student, I saw some people read the Daily Lobo, i know i pick up a copy, and I talk to students about it, but I wanted to be sure I talked to the Daily Lobo directly which is why I went to that meeting with them. I did meet with them to get this information. I talked with three other senators (Torres, Regalado, and Romero) who were also at the meeting with them.

c) Sen. Varela: Why do you think that sending the Daily Lobo to houses outside of the main campus is a bad thing, especially because we are a commuter campus?

(1) Sen. Zank:WHen i say that, i say they sent one to my house. I think that the question is whether or not they are spending money in a smart way. This personally does not make any sense to me. This is not necessarily an accessibility thing, its whether or not they need to spend money in this way.

d) Sen. Varela: What type of legal or financial compensation are you suggesting after cutting them? After cutting the 8.5%?

(1) Sen. Zank: The reason why I want to cut the 8.5% is because they also get money from selling ads. The 8.5% is a small percentage. They should aim to get money from other groups on campus, as their deficit comes from student affairs. This would not entirely cut them off.

e) Sen. Varela: You mention the importance of the free press, how does this follow in what you're saying?

(1) Sen. Zank: I did work for the Daily Lobo and was Editor in chief of my highschool newspaper.I see the value and importance. I think the downfall in newspapers is a trend. I think they should look to other ways to fund themselves, not only from us.As a government we should not be in the business of funding the press. It seems weird for us to give money to them to write about us. I think the Daily
Lobo is objective and fair, I just see this as an ethical issue. I want to uphold freedom of the press, but the Daily Lobo must adapt to the circumstances of today. This is difficult, but I do think this should be cut.

f) Sen. Varela: Do you know what the salaries are of the reporters on average? And do you think this is a fair wage for the amount of hours put in?
   (1) I do not know the breakdown, but when I worked there it was around $20 per story. This is based on the hours, stories, etc. I was told the only student with an actual salary is the Editor in Chief, other than that it's based on content.
   (2) Sen. Zank: I don't think it's my place to comment on how much they are paid.

g) Sen. Neal: You subtly mentioned that the Daily Lobo is available online?
   (1) Sen. Zank: Yes, it is available online, and this is how I read it. It can also be seen on Twitter.

h) Sen. Neal: With this strike through, does this make them the same to come back through to the Finance Committee, to go back and get a budget/appropriation.
   (1) Sen. Zank: I talked about this with the Finance Chair, but I think that student government should not be funding them at all. I am not sure if it's feasible for them to go through the budget process, but then that can become a source of corruption if we determine exactly how much they get.

i) Sen. Varela: How does SFRB feel about making this a separate fee?
   (1) One thing that was addressed was possibly creating a small media/publication fee to help assist in their expenses. This is something that we could look into.

j) Sen. Varela: Have you talked to SFRB?
   (1) No, I have not.

k) Sen. Varela: What are your thoughts on what upper leadership thinks. What do the Regents think about this?
   (1) The Board of Regents would have the rule on this because it is a constitutional change, and they have the power to make an opinion on this when it gets to them in that part of the legislative process. Obviously the goal is not to hurt the paper, but is to assess how ASUNM can be more financially responsible.
1) Sen. Varela: How would campus financial advisors view this?

(1) I know that the Daily Lobo is under student affairs. But I do not know the answers to what others think, I am only looking at this from how ASUNM could act on this.

4. Discussion:

a) Sen. Aldrich: I am personally disheartened by this bill, as it started off similarly to last bill with limited outreach. I don't think we should completely cut them off, but instead should support this group of undergrads interested in journalism, and that's no less of an interest than anyone else’s. I would have felt better if there was some sort of an Amendment, or other collaboration. More people need to be talked to. I appreciate all the research done and the reason they approached this, we do need to look at the allocation of funds, but I think that completely cutting this funding does not seem right. Not having them be a part of the budget process either is not right. If there is a possibility to amend this, that’s a point of discussion, I want to talk about, but I do not think we should cut this.

b) Sen. Neal: Based off the questions asked, I gathered that they can still go to Finance committee to request money, which I agree with, no malice to the Daily Lobo, but because of how precious our funding is, we should be very objective with our money. If we can give every student organization, including the Daily Lobo, exactly what they need, rather than a percentage, I think that's more productive in the eyes of being efficient.

c) Sen, Regalado: I was in this meeting as well. The editor and chief wrote more than 100 articles in his first semester, and is a great resource for students to get involved in journalism. ASUNM funds student organizations, and even if we cut them, we could not fund them because they are not a chartered student organization. I have many sheets from this meeting, showing where alumni work in the journalism field. I think we should fail this because they are not a business, they are students getting involved and are a very valuable resource. As the undergrad government, I think it's our duty to support students, I believe there are only 2 students working who are not students, and if I wrote one story, I could be considered a member, but I would get one time funding, which would be included in that 96 members. I think the value of this is very important. SWFC also has financial issues, but we should not cut them
because of that. We are here to support all student organizations. I think their budget and the deficit doesn't matter. The problems I know come from art with not getting as many advertisements. However, them not being in a good spot should not prevent us from funding all together. I also have a form that shows ASUNM advertising in the Daily Lobo, which is also a downward trend, but I also agree that this is not something we should be required to do. There is a downward trend in the Daily Lobo, this still is one of the only ways all students can see what is happening. Given this, I don't know what to do. I personally do not agree, but I don't know if that should dictate whether or not other senators can give their opinion on it.

d) Sen. Varela: I think that full financial considerations have not been taken into consideration. I think that we do not know enough about our budget, and it is not clear. Cutting an organization because they are not doing well financially and ASUNM is not doing well financially, this just does not make sense and is not responsible. It seems like were only trying to find money from anywhere we can, but we can't just cut their jobs and experiences. Cutting off this entirely, is drastic and many more things should be taken into consideration. We are doing the same thing here, practicing writing bills and being Senators. Passing this without any other bill or option, is not fair, it is not ethical. It's not fair to the Daily Lobo or other students, this cuts off so many students, especially those who commute. This also gives people outside of the Daily Lobo an opportunity to try it out and get involved with reporting. Passing this bill without any backup, is not fair, it lets them fend for themselves.

e) Sen. Neal: I think this is a heavy topic and could be worth passing this to full senate, as this would give time for the Daily Lobo to speak to us during Public Comment and have all senators get involved. I would 100% love hearing what they have to say. I think by passing it to Full Senate would also give us time to potentially write an amendment to add and make this better.

f) Sen. Aldrich: Clearly we are in a crisis. We are in the middle of writing a Constitutional Amendment to address this financial crisis to have a greater general fund. By making this cut before seeing how far this money can go for them does not allow for anyone to see how the other bill will affect anything. I think this is something that can be
discussed later. I appreciate the idea behind it and wanting to address the idea of financial responsibility, and yes it is a lot of money, it's not up to us to completely cut it and take this away. UNM is a commuter school and whether one or a few individuals believe that the Daily Lobo does not serve a purpose being mailed out, we cannot speak on everyone's behalf and say generally that it does not matter. Whether we can access this digitally or not, we are not in a place to make this generalized position about mailing it out and accessibility. We should not just cut it completely, they have discretion over the money they get. Their allocation of funds and how they choose to spend their money should be up to them, not us. They use their money as they please, same as other organizations. Yes, other organizations must be more specific with the budget and appropriation process, and this is less specified, but we give this amount of money to this organization so they can gain experience as well. I wish this had an amendment.

g) Sen. Regalado: The Daily Lobo does not have a surplus of money, profit is not their purpose. It gives them an opportunity to gain experience. To me it is just a special student organization and is something we should help fund. The postage/mailout is only $5,000ish per year and it is worth it because of the population it reaches. If we get an increased student fee, they will also get more money, and they really need it. I don't feel comfortable passing this at all. I would vote no in full senate, but would be okay passing to to get to Full Senate, to hear other opinions.

h) Sen. Varela: First I want to clarify my comment about their pay. What I meant about everyone not getting paid enough, it is important to acknowledge that financial responsibility does not lie solely on ASUNM Senate. We are taking a great role when it comes to funding. We are crunching the numbers but also have to rely on our superiors to deal with this pressure. I will be voting no on this tonight, and if the argument is that there needs to be more senators opinions, this could have been talked about sooner. I think this is risky, because if it does pass in Full Senate, then what. In my opinion, I do not think this is ready or complete. If you are comfortable passing this on to Full Senate, then that means you want to present something that is incomplete. When talking about the impact this has on the school and the organization, it’s not their fault that many people don't read it, it's also a matter of collaboration, which is the only thing we have control over. Relations between the Daily
Lobo and ASUNM is weird. Collaboration between us and them would also impact the effect they have on students, which is something we could actually take on, which would be something we could get done.

i) Sen. Aldrich: I will vote no on this bill tonight because the opinions of other senators should have been gathered sooner. Full senate is a time to discuss, but this is a huge deal, and options should have been taken into account sooner. I would have been more comfortable with this if other voices were considered before tonight. I think there needs to be a larger discussion, and getting a better idea of the impact it makes and logistics is important before making this decision. This was a point of unease from last bill, I think we are all more comfortable with outreach, but this bill did not do proper outreach. We all need to be on the same page moving forward, and although we have different opinions, and for us to be speaking on others behalves, we are uninformed. If we want to do this, we need it more complete. Getting a better idea of how students use the Daily Lobo is definitely important. Student input needs to be gathered. I will vote no here today.

j) Sen. Regalado: When we say this is risky, we need to evaluate whether it is risky to our own opinions of the nature of the bill. We need to set precedent on how we want to move forward. Technically the Daily Lobo is not a student organization so we don't have to give them funding, but we have established a clause giving them money. Although we are not officially obligated, we are in support of the student publication board. I would vote yes to get the opinions of others, but I do not support this at all.

k) Sen. Torres: Throughout the semester, this committee passed bills to be seen at Full Senate. It would be shocking to have this fail here tonight. I would like to hear opinions of other students. The purpose of this is not to make decisions for the entire student body.

l) Sen. Varela: I think the effects of bill 8F are clear. We had a special meeting for a bill that was not ready. I mean this is risky because we do not have meaningful discussion in senate, and we often feel obligated to vote a certain way because they do not know something, and are shamed for it. As a Senate, we do not know enough about our budget process, and I think this how we all feel, especially based on how the special meeting went a couple of weeks ago.

m) Sen. Neal: Regardless of my opinion in this bill, I think it's obvious that this bill needs work. I feel at this point it
would be worth failing it and having work done by senators. I don't know if two weeks is enough, but I do think this bill needs work. I will probably vote no on this.

n) Sen. Aldrich: MOTION to move back into questions
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed

o) Sen. Aldrich: Do you think this bill is complete?
   (1) Sen. Zank: No, after hearing discussion tonight, I think this should be failed and I will be back with an updated bill. When I went into this process, I still support the bill, but it's obvious that a majority of the senators do not, so I'm not doing my due diligence if I cannot sell you on it. I encourage you all to fail it, as I will be back next week with an updated bill and resolutions. I apologize to the committee for not doing enough work, and in order to do this, I need to do it the right way.

p) Sen. Regalado: MOTION to move back to discussion
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed

q) Sen. Regalado: With that, we will be failing this. I will not support even an amendment to this bill, I think we should leave it alone. This is what they need. I will not support cutting this at all. They really need the financial help. We are not supporting a business, we are supporting a student publication on campus. Even with an amended version, my opinion will not change.

r) Sen. Aldrich: I support the discussion of this. I am not educated enough to know where I stand. In terms of the financial allocation for the Daily Lobo, I am uninformed, however this is incomplete and I think other opinions are needed.

s) VP Lucas: Whether or not you decide to pass this, I want to make sure you all know what you can fund. In the Lawbook, Article 1, General Funding Section 2, it says “the ASUNM Student Government will only fund service entities, chartered student organizations, student service agencies, or ASUNM government.” While we do fund other things, I will say that the definition of Service entities is ambiguous, and this outlines what we can fund.

t) Sen. Varela: It’s important to note what is happening. I think this is an institutional budgeting irresponsibility, making students turn on students. We are trying to cut as much as we can. We cannot fix the problems coming from a higher up position. We need to call out the budget leaders, they need to be here, they need to hear what we are trying to do. I think it's unfair that we have the burden of
dealing with all this, with no one hearing us out. I think it's important to notice the implications. Who do we need to hold responsible because it is not us.

u) Sen. Regalado: Point of Information, is it possible to have a members of the public comment on the matter?

   (1) VP Lucas: That has not really happened and if we did, it would be setting a bad precedent moving forward. However, it seems like we are all going to be here after the meeting, so we can disperse and talk. There will be public comment next week.

v) Sen. Varela: If anyone in the public has any ideas, you can email me your thoughts.

w) Sen. Regalado: I do not understand why that is a bad precedent, because we did not hear from them before, so I want to hear from them now.

x) Sen. Aldrich: Though I deeply value the voices of others, I think their opinions should have been considered earlier on when writing this bill. I'm not sure how this would affect the rules. I want to know what they have to say because it affects them entirely, but I can empathize with how they are feeling.

y) Sen. Regalado: I would like to wait and see if this is possible to hear what public comment.

z) Chair Hotz: In the past, we would have had to rearrange the agenda.

aa) Sen. Aldrich: I am wondering if those people in this room would like a week to compile their thoughts. I want to hear what you have to say but if you have a week to compile your opinions, would this be beneficial. This may allow questions to be answered.

bb) Sen. Varela: Since I have five minutes, I will hold my time for five minutes to hear the voices of the public.

cc) Sen. Regalado: MOTION to recess until public comment question is answered.

dd) Sen. Aldrich : MOTION to reconvene

   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed

ee) Sen. Regalado: We have to vote on this first, we can suspend standing rules, but this would set precedent. The other option would be sticking around after and speaking to us, with no more than 3 at a time. I personally want to hear everyone's comments in meeting, but it is a hard situation.

ff) Sen. Varela: I could give you a watered down run down. I’m asking rhetorically if we should vote on this now, and then have you speak, or just keep going and hear from you.
gg) Chair Hotz: Public comment is in the beginning which is
designed to address business before we talk about it. So if
we prefer suspending standing rules, we can do that.

hh) Sen. Varela: I feel weird about voting on this first, we
should already have had the public's opinion.

ii) Sen. Regalado: They had the opportunity to speak during
public comment, but they did not. We cannot set a
precedent to have them speak during our business, because
this cannot dictate how we vote. Moving forward, we need
to decide what we want to do after the vote.

jj) Sen. Aldrich: By suspending standing rules, what would
this mean?
   (1) Chair Hotz: This would mean we could move public
       comment to the end.

kk) Sen. Aldrich: I think we should give them the opportunity
to speak to us as a whole.

ll) Sen. Varela: I would also support suspending standing rules

mm) Sen. Regalado: MOTION to vote
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed

nn) Bill 18F is FAILED with a vote of 0-4-0-1

oo) Sen. Regalado: MOTION to suspend Standing Rules
   (1) MOTION is seconded and passed

pp) Sen. Regalado: MOTION to move back into public
   comment

H. Public Comment:

1. Justin Garcia: The mailout edition is by far the most profitable
edition, it is full of ads, is almost 20 pages long, and is a key piece
of the revenue we generate. Right now there are 9 of us from the
Daily Lobo, who make almost no money who will be here until
12:30 tonight, just as they are here until 12:30 on Sunday night’s
putting together a newspaper for the UNM community. No one
else is going to report that ASUNM wants to pass a $5 student fee
increase, no one else will report on how many people vote in
elections, no one else will report that the president is using
discretionary money to fund a club soccer, after cutting the athletic
team. If we were to lose this funding, it would put ASUNM in a
situation, and UNM in a situation to have two newspapers, one
funded by the government, and one not. I don't think anyone thinks
the Daily Lobo is an ASUNM Agency, instead what I think you
see us as is a newspaper that is constantly looking for a place to
find you all screwing up, i think that is a fair description of what
we do. The reason we do that is because the student body has
trusted you guys with the most amount of power a student can
have. If we don’t have this money, it puts you in a terrible situation
to essentially create a fake news PR machine, which is exactly
what is happening right now at State. They have two newspapers, RoundUp is ran out of the Business School, funded by the student government, the other, Kokopelli funded by the journalism department. Kokopelli has 300 followers on Twitter, while RoundUp has almost 6,000. No one cares about Kokopelli, they do not have the money for anyone to care. This money ensures that the UNM community, the students, the faculty and all the people in the community have a source of news that is specifically tailored to them, which is not something you can’t put a price on. The argument to go online only is ridiculous, we make all our money on advertisements. The website does not make any revenue. I’m disappointed that this bill came up, especially after our meeting about the Daily Lobo’s funding.. Im disappointed there was no follow up meeting or attempt to speak on any of these issues, even in an objective way. I have given this conversation to those senators, and many other officers previously, or currently involved with ASUNM, we know how to have this conversation. I appreciate the opportunity to talk now. Makayla and I came to you all at the beginning of the semester because we understand that animosity exists and im not suggesting that this bill was a censorship attempt. We came at the beginning to say that our door is always open. Only those few senators took advantage of it. Madelyn, Adam, other members of the executive office and myself meet every other week to discuss exactly what we are talking about, no at this table has had that conversation. Our letters and doors are always open. If you have a problem, contact us, you have ways to check us, and exercise any say and input on us. You can write a letter and say “the Daily Lobo screwed up” and we will publish it. We screw up all the time, and you have ways to hold us accountable. You have the Student publications board there are all these places to exercise your opinions. I appreciate you hearing me out.

2. Luisa Pennington: I know at least four of you on a somewhat personal level, and because of that, I know that you care about systems and American Government, and that’s why you are here.. If you guys push this bill forward, it could be seen as an infringement of the First Amendment, a Constitutional Right. Furthermore, holding back that freedom of speech would not only upset a lot of people it employs (ie. all of us here tonight), but you have to realize that we have a lot of alumni and other journalists who are already upset our funds are being cut. This is anti-USA to vote on something against the First Amendment, and you’re also upsetting a lot of people who are already hurt. I was in student government in high school, and I know how hard it is to find a median, but i will say that i wish there were more calm
conversations. I agree with a lot of what you are saying, I don't want any of us to shoot the messenger. With that being said, I would love to move forward to avoid this “student against student” situation we are in. I promote a lot of what you do around campus, and I know that we all believe in the First Amendment Right.

3. Makayla Grijalva: I am also willing to give out my personal information if you want to talk about anything we are doing, our doors are always open.

4. Sen. Romero: I really encourage all of you to reach out to the Daily Lobo, it is very easy. They will tell you everything. Sen. Torres, Sen. Regalado and I all want to give even more to the Daily Lobo after hearing from them and it personally changed my opinion of how we can use the Daily Lobo. I don't think we should cut them at all, and definitely not without a backup plan behind it, but even that, I don’t think we should cut them at all. Having this going through a budget process would cost even more money. I encourage you to rethink the Daily Lobo and how we can utilize them as members of the student government.

5. Sen. Zank: Lastly, just to clarify, I appreciate everyone coming out, this was not designed to be an attack on your paper or your organization. I have a journalistic background, and the last thing I want to do is make it seem that I don't like the press or the First Amendment. I think the role you all play here is valuable, and what I saw from my time in Senate is that we need to be more fiscally responsible, and that's where this came from, it was never about the Daily Lobo. I do want to continue this conversation. I want to hear from you all as well. If I do propose this again, I want to hear from more people, I have office hours each week. We do need to keep investigating to find ways to be more fiscally conservative, and I will keep pushing. Thank you for the passion you all have. I said students don’t care about ASUNM, but you are all proving that wrong by being here. Thanks.

6. Sarah Polsin: We are involved with what is going on on campus, but many people are not. The Daily Lobo is many students main source of finding out what is going on, and cutting that would also be cutting student involvement because they would not know what is going on on campus. Just keep that in mind.

7. Alanie Rael: I just want to address what has been said about fiscal responsibility. It is important to fund student organizations and agencies, the Daily Lobo is the first resource for students to have information and news about things that are incorrect and ethically wrong, to help students be involved in campus organizations and to report on events, we do so much for our students, that another publication could not provide, Albuquerque Journal is not going to report on what is going on in campus. In classes we learn that the
news no matter how big or small is the fourth eye. You all are a
government entity on campus, and it is our job to hold you
accountable and if you take that away because of fiscal reasons,
you’re taking away the voice that UNM has to give to the students.
I understand that money is tight and I am not trying to say that you
don’t have the responsibility to address that, but you also have a
responsibility to give students a source of information that we
provide.

IV. Closing
   A. Closing Comments
      1. Members of the Committee
         a) Sen. Aldrich: I don't think it's up to us to decide what is
            important to people, and I think cutting funding for the
            Daily Lobo does that. I was disheartened to see this bill
            coming through. I don't have any education on this and I
            don't think we should have had to make this decision,
            clearly people feel strongly about this. It’s up to those
            proposing the change to talk to those it affects. I would love
            to be more educated on the matter, but I feel that I did not
            have the chance to. The press matters and i’m glad we were
            able to hear their voices tonight. I look forward to hearing
            from them more.
         b) Sen. Varela: My closing comment is going to be Andrew
            Gunn’s public comment. This bill as written is arbitrary and
capricious. It is a thinly veiled attack designed to defund an
institution that provides a public service to hold the
university, its officials, and other power brokers in the
community accountable to their actions. If you think of
nothing else when considering this bill, reflect on what
your lasting legacy will be if you're the group of senators
that passes a bill destroying an institution that has existed at
the university for 125 years. Make no mistake, cutting the
funding we currently receive would be fatal. It will be a
shameful legacy to hold and will reverberate across the
country, to every public and private university that still
holds the freedom of the press to be an American birthright.
This group of senators will be run through a meat grinder
of public ridicule and shame, and I promise you tonight, the
American press will excoriate you on a national stage if this
bill passes to full Senate.
         c) Sen. Aldrich: Although I feel strongly about this, aside
            from my personal feelings, it was not even complete, and
            so in general, without looking at the content, it was not
            complete and we could not have had a full discussion in
            Full Senate anyway. We should keep in mind that althoug
that's the only place we can all talk at once, legislation must be complete before it’s submitted.

d) VP Lucas: I will also mention this in Full Senate, but you all take a lot of passion and momentum in meetings, but I want to see this same passion outside of meetings as well. You are a senator outside of meetings as well, this is your position and I want you to pass this along. I want you all to pass this along to the other senators. We don’t have a ton of time left, so keep up this passion. With the Daily Lobo legislation from last semester, I still do not know what happened, so I am talking to VP Wilks to see what actually happened. Also, If you’re interested in being on the special financial planning committee, the deadline to let Deputy Chief of Staff know is tonight at 11:59 pm.

2. Vice Chair
   a) Vice Chair Regalado: We take on a lot of responsibility as senators, and $56,000 is a lot of money to cut. I really think we had a good discussion, and I'm the one to blame for spontaneous bill submissions. As a freshman senator, I am very passionate about this, but I have learned over the semester that it's better to take your time. It's a tough situation, but I think we had a good conversation.I am thankful we were able to hear from the public as well, and I think suspending standing rules was well worth it. I am not the best at outreach, but I think this really shows how important it really is. I think we learned a lot from tonight's meeting as well.

3. Chair
   a) Chair Hotz: I want to commend you all for having so much passion and drive to submit legislation to progress ASUNM. I would smile on way more collaboration on this bills. This has been an issue throughout the semester. Obviously, without doing so, these bills are unsuccessful. We need to be better about that as a committee. Thank you to all the members of the public for coming tonight, it is very important to work closely with the Daily Lobo with student fees to make sure we are transparent and promote a good message. Overall very diligent and great discussion. I encourage you all to talk to Lobo Spirit Executive Director, to reconfirm that bill and don't forget to look to look at AGs recommendations. Also, I am going to the ELL meeting this week to help them write legislation, if anyone would like to come help, it is 6-6:30.

4. Chair Hotz: I call this meeting to close at 8:57 pm.
Meeting Minutes
Wednesday, 30 October 2019
5:30 pm, SUB Spirit/Trailblazer (3rd floor)

1. Opening
   a. Call to Order
      i. Chair Romero calls the October 30th, 2019 Finance Committee meeting to order at 5:37 pm
   b. Roll Call
      i. Sen. Flores is absent
         1. MOTION to move out of parli pro
            a. During Pre-Finance, the senators discussed the business for the evening.
         2. MOTION to recess until 6 pm
            a. MOTION is seconded and passed
      ii. Called back to order at 6 pm
         1. all senators are present
   c. Approval of Agenda
      i. MOTION to open the agenda
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed
            a. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to strike appropriation 42F from the agenda
               i. MOTION is seconded and passed
         2. MOTION to approve the agenda
            a. MOTION is seconded and passed
   d. Approval of Minutes
      i. MOTION to approve the minutes
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed

2. Preliminary Business
   a. Finance Chair
      i. Hello everyone, thank you all for coming! Unfortunately, the projector is not working, so please listen for your name to come up. When you come
up, you will have a few minutes to talk and then we will ask you questions.

b. Members of the Committee
   i. none

c. Public Comment
   i. none

3. New Business
   a. Appropriations
      i. Appropriation 38F – Black and Gold
         1. MOTION to open Appropriation 38F
            a. MOTION is seconded and passed
               i. Sponsorship Speech: We are a student org composed of army ROTC students. We are requesting funding for our french night, where the students find out their job. This event reveals what their job is going to be. We are estimating approx. 60 people. We were going to be using our own funding, but the fundraising has not come in yet.
               
               ii. Questions:

               iii. Sen. Lopez: When will the event be? How many of the people coming be undergrads?
                   1. The Event will be November 15th. 59 undergrads will be at the event.

               iv. Sen. Lutz: Where will you be getting the food from?
                   1. We have been doing it at restaurants in the past, but we are hosting it at a student's house. The food funding is also for the venue cost. The food will be gathered by the hosting family.

               v. Sen. Ruja: You will be paying the student money for the house? The total will not be for food?
                   1. The total amount will be provided to the hosting family. The total amount for each person will be less than what the cost will be.

               vi. Sen. Rivera: What percentage of the amount was going towards food?
                   1. Maybe $8 per person for food specifically.

               vii. Discussion:

               viii. Sen. Lopez: If we give them whatever amount we decide on for food, it would end up reverting to us.

               ix. Sen. Lutz: I know that we usually fund $200 per event for food, but this is 60 people and would be $720. I do not feel comfortable funding this amount.
x. Sen. Rivera: I feel comfortable funding them at $400. We should take into consideration the amount of people going.

xi. Sen. Lopez: I am not entirely sure about how much I want to fund them at, but $300-$400.

xii. Sen. Lutz: I feel comfortable funding it at $400.

xiii. Sen. Flores: I feel like $400 is a good price, since this is their biggest event.

xiv. Sen. Ruja: We should probably fund it at $400, and we have been making exceptions.

xv. Sen. Lutz: We do generally stick to the standing rules, but they usually rely on sponsors and donors, but this year the sponsors and donors have fallen through.

xvi. Sen. Chioda: MOTION to reduce line item 8 to read $200

1. MOTION is seconded and failed

xvii. Sen. Ruja: MOTION to move out of standing rules

1. MOTION is seconded and passed.

xviii. Sen. Chioda: MOTION to reduce line item 8 to read $400.

1. MOTION is seconded and passed

xix. MOTION to move back into standing rules

1. MOTION is seconded and passed

xx. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to vote on Appropriation 38F

1. MOTION is seconded and passed

a. With a vote of 6-0-0, Appropriation 38F is passed at $416.

ii. Appropriation 39F – Iranian Student Association

1. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to open Appropriation 39F

a. MOTION is seconded and passed

i. Sponsorship Speech: We are hosting an international night about Iran to share our country, our culture, and traditions. We are hoping to have food, decorations and tables.

ii. Questions:

iii. Sen. Rivera: What are you planning on buying for decorations? Are you renting the speaker and microphone? What is the purpose of the speaker and microphone?

1. For decorations, we are planning on purchasing tablecloths, flowers, anything to help the room. The venue we are at is allowing us to use speakers and microphones, but they might not let us use
it, so we are hoping to buy it. It is mostly for live entertainment and music.

iv. Sen. Lopez: Where are you hosting the event? How many active undergraduates? How many undergrads will attend this event?
   1. We are hosting the event at the christian student center. We are estimating about 100 people. We have 120 undergraduate students. We are estimating that about 100 of the students will be there. The event will be November 24th.

v. Sen. Ruja: If you were to purchase the microphone and speakers, we cannot fund transportable technology, but you can get the speakers from the venue?
   1. Yes, the venue will have a microphone and speaker.

vi. Sen. Lutz: Where will you be getting the food from? Do you charge admission?
   1. We will be getting food from Cello Grill. We do not charge admission.

vii. Sen. Rivera: Naan is included in the platter, why are you purchasing more?
    1. We will probably need more bread than what we are given in the platter. We asked the restaurant if we would need more bread, and they said that we probably will.

viii. Sen. Ruja: Can you give me a better breakdown of the decorations?
    1. The decorations will be tablecloths, flowers, wall decals to cover up the plain walls, and a lot of people will be bringing arts and crafts from their home to display.

ix. Discussion:

x. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to reduce line item 29 to read $0.
   1. MOTION is seconded and passed

xi. Sen. Lutz: I would like to hear more discussion on refreshments and food, because that is a lot of money.

xii. Sen. Ruja: I am leaning towards what we did for the previous org, the flat out $400. I am willing to hear other opinions.

xiii. Sen. Lopez: MOTION to reduce line item 8 to read $400
1. MOTION is seconded and failed

xiv. Sen. Lopez: MOTION to move out of standing rules
   1. MOTION is seconded and passed

xv. Sen. Lopez: MOTION to reduce line item 8 to read $400
   1. MOTION is seconded
      a. Discussion:
      b. Sen. Chioda: This org has twice the amount of students, I would like to hear discussion.
      c. Sen. Ruja: This is the best we can do while being fiscally responsible
         i. MOTION is passed

xvi. MOTION to move back into standing rules
   1. MOTION is seconded and passed

xvii. Sen. Ruja: I would like to hear discussion on line item 22 and how you guys would like to go about that.

xviii. Sen. Lutz: I would put that under supply costs, and I am comfortable funding it at $75 because the venue does not give them tables.

xix. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to vote on Appropriation 39F
   1. MOTION is seconded and passed
      a. With a vote of 6-0-0, Appropriation 39F is passed at $478

iii. Appropriation 40F – National Asian Pacific American Women’s Forum (NAPAWF)
   1. Sen. Lopez: MOTION to open Appropriation 40F
      a. MOTION is seconded and passed
         i. Sponsorship Speech: We are asking for funding for our kick off event, as we recently got chartered. We already have a flier. The event will be on November 20th. We are expecting about 10-15 people to be there. We are expecting about 10 undergrads and 5 graduate students. We are also requesting about $50 for general supply costs.

      ii. Questions:

      iii. Sen. Lopez: Does the price of the food go into paying the chefs?
          1. All the funding goes directly to the chef, the stipend is included in the item.

      iv. Sen. Lutz: Are you charging entrance?
          1. No, we are not charging entrance fees.

      v. Discussion:
vi. Sen. Lopez: I would love to hear discussion on the line item for food, but it is higher than other groups.

vii. Sen. Lutz: Even though it is below $200, it is a lot of money for one event for 10 people. With the plates and utensils, $50 is a lot.

viii. Sen. Flores: I feel that line item 15 could be decreased and for decreasing line item 8, T would like discussion.

ix. Sen. Ruja: I am comfortable decreasing line item 8. We have to cut line item 15.

x. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to reduce line item 15 to read $25

1. MOTION is seconded and passed

xi. Sen. Lutz: I do not think that we should change line item 8 to be Professional Services as then we would have to cut it, but I could see it as $100.

xii. Sen. Lopez: $100 is a good amount.

xiii. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to reduce line item 8 to read $100

1. MOTION is seconded

a. Discussion:
   
   b. Sen. Lutz: We are funding each person at about $7 if more people come

   c. MOTION is passed

xiv. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to vote on Appropriation 40F

1. MOTION is seconded and passed

a. With a vote of 6-0-0, Appropriation 40F is passed at $126.

iv. Appropriation 41F – Pi Tau Sigma

1. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to open Appropriation 41F

   a. MOTION is seconded and passed

   i. Sponsorship Speech: We are a mechanical engineering honor society. We are asking for funding to send 2 of our officers to our national conference. We are asking for funding now because the registration fees and airline tickets are cheaper the earlier you buy them.

   ii. Questions:

   iii. Sen. Lopez: Is it $90 per person for the registration fees? What are the dates that you will be traveling? The tickets and airfare are for 2 people, and what type of ticket were you looking at??

      1. Yes, it is $90 per person. We plan on arriving in New York on the 30th of January
2020 and leaving the 3rd of February 2020. Yes, the tickets and airfare is for 2 people and that price is according to Southwest airlines, we chose the cheapest option, but flights change.

iv. Sen. Rivera: Have you considered going to GPSA?
   1. Not yet, we are not familiar with that process yet.

v. Sen. Lutz: Do you guys have membership dues? Do the members have to pay to go to this conference? Will any of the dues go to this event?
   1. Yes, $60 is our membership fee, it is a lifetime fee. It comes in at the end of fall semester. We are mostly comprised of seniors. We are not expecting this money until the end of next month. We keep the majority of it, but we pay a small percentage to nationals. Travel and hotel are comped, but they have to pay for food. We are not sure if the dues will go to this event yet.

vi. Sen. Flores: Do you fundraise?
   1. No, we do not fundraise.

vii. Sen. Rivera: Are you a graduate student?
    1. Yes I am.

viii. Chair Romero: We unfortunately cannot fund you, as you are not an undergraduate student. You can go through GPSA to get funding.

ix. Discussion:

x. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to vote on Appropriation 41F
   1. MOTION is seconded and passed
      a. With a vote of 0-6-0, Appropriation 41F is failed.

v. Appropriation 43F – National Society of Black Engineers
   1. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to open Appropriation 43F
      a. MOTION is seconded and passed
         i. Sponsorship Speech: We do a lot of events throughout the year, but we are asking for funding for a conference in early November. We would like to fund 4 people, they are all undergars. We are planning on having 2-3 more meetings, and our meetings are biweekly.

ii. Questions:

iii. Sen. Rivera: How many people attend the meetings?
   1. About 15-20 people attend the meetings.

iv. Sen. Lutz: Do you charge membership dues?
1. Members have to join the national group, but nothing comes to us.

v. Sen. Rivera: Are you chartered?
   1. Yes, we are chartered

vi. Discussion:

vii. Sen. Lopez: This looks really good to me!

viii. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to vote Appropriation 43F
   1. MOTION is seconded and passed
      a. With a vote of 6-0-0, Appropriation 43F is passed $503.

vi. Appropriation 44F – Lobo Gardens

1. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to open Appropriation 44F
   a. MOTION is seconded and passed
      i. Sponsorship Speech: We are asking for money for materials for our org. These materials are vital for our org. The $5.00 is to account for tax when we purchase the items.
         1. SGAO: UNM is tax exempt, so when you purchase items, you can get a tax exempt form.

   ii. Questions:

   iii. Sen. Lopez: How many members do you have? Do the members pay dues?
         1. We have about 18 people, but 25 people are interested. There are no dues.

   iv. Discussion:

   v. Sen. Lopez: I would like to hear opinions on how a few of their items could be purchased every year, like the pebbles.

   vi. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to move back into questions
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed

   vii. Questions:

   viii. Sen Lutz: For most of these items, you can use them for several years, but the next budget process is in the spring. Do you need these items this semester?
         1. Yes, we need the items this semester

   ix. Discussion:

   x. Sen. Lopez: If you can use it for years, it is an appropriation item as they will not need to purchase it year after year.

   xi. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to increase line item 8 to read $442
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed

   xii. Sen. Lutz: Sweeping MOTION to reduce line items 15, 22, 29, 36, 43, 50, 57, 64, and 72 to read $0
1. MOTION is seconded and passed

xiii. Sen. Lutz: I feel comfortable funding this now, all of these items are necessary for their club

xiv. Sen. Flores: MOTION to vote on Appropriation 44F
1. MOTION is seconded and passed
   a. With a vote of 6-0-0, Appropriation 44F is passed $445

vii. Appropriation 45F – UNM Health Professions Symposium
1. Sen. Lutz: MOTION to open Appropriation 45F
   a. MOTION is seconded and passed
      i. Sponsorship Speech: We are an event dedicated to help students to make the most educated career decisions. We’ve been going on for about 4 years. We are hoping to get around 200 students. We are asking for funding for our biweekly meetings, and we will be back next semester for our meeting. We are also asking for money for sponsorship fliers, as sponsors are our primary source of funding.

      ii. Questions:
      iii. Sen. Lopez: With these biweekly meetings, how many people come?
          1. We have about 15 undergrads, but we added for about 2 more.

      iv. Sen. Lutz: How many more meetings left in the semester?
          1. We have about 3 meetings left.

      v. Discussion:
      vi. Sen. Ruja: What they do for the New Mexico community is very important in New Mexico, and this increases the healthcare providers.

      vii. Sen. Lopez: This looks pretty straightforward to me, and it is good to recognize what they do for the community.

      viii. Sen. Chioda: I would like to hear discussion at funding $300 for only 3 more meetings.

      ix. Sen. Lutz: I did the math, and it would be about $4 per person per meeting, and I am comfortable with that.

      x. Sen. Lopez: MOTION to vote on Appropriation 45F
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed
            a. With a vote of 6-0-0, Appropriation 45F is passed at $277

b. Budget Revisions
   i. MOTION to open discussion on budget revisions
1. MOTION is seconded and passed
2. Discussion:
3. Sen. Ruja: I would like to hear discussion on SACNAS. I don’t know if we want to do this revision because they have been messing up a lot this semester, and I feel like we should stand firm and not let them revise their budget. It does not seem like they are trying to follow the rules.
4. MOTION to open Budget revision of SACNAS
   a. MOTION is seconded and passed
      i. Sen. Lopez: I would like to hear discussion on what they did not do right in this situation
      ii. Sen. Lutz: I would feel comfortable failing this budget revision, due to the fact that they just requested money for food and refreshments. They would have $500 for food and refreshments, which is a lot. They have not been trying to work with us at all, and they only have 5 members.
      iii. Sen. Chioda: I do not have an issue denying this based off of what previous senators have said.
      iv. Sen. Lopez: MOTION to vote on SACNAS Budget Revision
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed
            a. With a vote of 0-6-0, SACNAS Budget Revision is failed.
5. MOTION to block vote the other revisions
   a. MOTION is seconded and passed
      i. With a vote of 6-0-0, the Budget Revisions are passed.

4. Closing
   a. Members of the Committee
      i. Sen. Ruja: This is my last semester as a senator, and I had tried to pass a bill that would increase the stipends for finance senators. I was ostracized for it, because everyone said that the stipend came from orgs, but it really comes from budget revisions. This committee is a lot, and will become more intense in the spring.
      ii. Sen. Rivera: I would like to congratulate Sen. Flores for catching the grad student that came to request money.
      iii. Sen. Torres: You should go stop in S&R after this, as they are not done and you could get senate stars!
   b. SGAO
      i. Very good catch with the grad students, but you should all be asking this question. Good catch with Sen. Ruja about Black and Gold paying the host family. You are inconsistent with the way you fund your food. I do not
know when this started, but it needs to be consistent. You have not been consistent at all, and that is completely unfair to the students. The inconsistency is blowing me away, and it is completely unfair. When someone says that they are paying a chef to cook, it is not a new idea, it is prepared food. Please try to be consistent, and it is unfair to the students who are getting grilled.

c.  Vice Chair
   i.  Sen. Lutz: Make sure everyone shares your own opinion! I take the blame for the inconsistency. It is not fair to treat each appropriation on a case by case basis. Good job to Sen. Flores! We should get together and work on a bill that does increase the stipend for finance senators.

d.  Finance Chair
   i.  Chair Romero: I do not think that we should have gone out of standing rules for ANY org. We have standing rules for a reason, and we will help orgs out where we can. We need to be more consistent and uniform with our funding and our cuts. We did go to $200 for groups, and did not go out of standing rules. We, as a committee, need to stay uniform, as there was an org that asked if we could go out of standing rules for them. It is unfair to move out of standing rules for two orgs tonight when we have not done that all year. It is not our responsibility to fund every orgs event, we cannot fund everything in full.

e.  Adjournment
   i.  Chair Romero adjourns the October 30th, 2019 Finance Committee meeting at 7:19 pm.
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1. Opening
   a. Call to Order at 6:00 PM
   b. Roll Call: All senators are present, quorum.
   c. Approval of Agenda
      i. MOTION to Approve the Agenda
         1. Seconded
         2. Questions and Discussion
            a. None
         3. MOTION Passes 5-0-0
   d. Approval of Minutes
      i. MOTION to Approve the Minutes
         1. Seconded
         2. Questions and Discussion
            a. None
         3. MOTION Passes 5-0-0

2. Preliminary Business
   a. Chair: Hey everyone, this is the second to last meeting that we have, hopefully we are all set for the food we will be having at student success week so hopefully that can be finalized. Hopefully we can have a good discussion on all the candidates.
   b. Vice Chair: Hi everyone, I’m excited to talk about the outreach I want to plan before the end of the meeting.
   c. Gallery and Media:
   d. Advisor: I’m excited to help you all plan student success week and to help you contact businesses and get things.
      i. VP Lucas: Wanted to give an announcement from COS and DOC about a committee they are forming to address the funding problem. Since
you are the O&A committee, make sure to reach out to them before midnight.

e. Members of the Committee: None.

3. Business
   a. Vote to Close the Meeting and Proceed in Presidential Appointments
      i. MOTION to Close the Meeting
         1. Seconded
         2. Questions and Discussion
            a. None
         3. MOTION Passes 5-0-0
   b. Application Review and Hearings
      i. SSE Volunteer Coordinator: James Patrick Paten
         1. 5-0-0
      ii. SSE Concerts Director: Joseph Polack
          1. 5-0-0
      iii. SSE Speakers Director: Victoria Mount
          1. 5-0-0
      iv. Student Court Chief Justice: David Terrazas
          1. 5-0-0
      v. SWFC Cherry Reel Film Festival Chair: Jacob Persinger Jones
          1. 5-0-0
      vi. SWFC Concessions: Anneliese Ward
          1. 5-0-0
      vii. Elections Commissioner: Daniella Rodriguez
          1. 5-0-0
      viii. Elections Commissioner: Heidi Armes
          1. 5-0-0
      ix. Elections Commission: Christabel Amma Ameyaw Baah
          1. 5-0-0
      x. Elections Commission: Monica Lemmon
          1. 5-0-0
      xi. Elections Commission: Ansley Emeanuwa
          1. 5-0-0
   c. Vote to re-open the meeting and certification that only those matters described in Agenda Item B were discussed in Closed Session.
      i. MOTION to Re-Open the Meeting and Certify that Only Matters Describer in Agenda Item B were Discussion in Closed Session
         1. Seconded
         2. Questions and Discussion
            a. None
3. MOTION Passes 5-0-0

d. Vote on Appointments Discussed in Closed-Meeting

e. Outreach Idea Planning

i. MOTION to Move Out of Parliamentary Procedure

1. Seconded
2. Questions and Discussion

ii. Senator Irving Event Recap:

1. Sen. Irving: It was a good event that you need to plan ahead and look for the weather. We got to have playing cornhole and it was a cool event that we should do again.
2. Advisor: It was just cold.
3. Chair: I enjoyed it.

iii. Senator Ali Event Recap:

1. Sen. Ali: The event was lowkey, but it was a good event because we did it with the SHAC’s week of wellness
2. Chair: Yeah, I saw a lot of ASUNM people and students coloring.

iv. Senator Gonzalez Event:

1. Sen. Gonzalez: Working out some kinks with the event people, meeting with the people to plan the event. Meeting tomorrow to start assigning days to start filming.
2. Chair: What were some of the kinks?
4. Chair: Oh okay, it was exciting to see everything coming together;

v. Senator Zank Event:

2. Chair: There is only like three weeks left during the semester to plan things. Did everyone like agree to the event and a time to meet?
3. Sen. Zank: Yes, we are aiming for either the 11th or the 15th of November.
4. Advisor: I think it’s a great idea for students to ask them questions and you may want to have questions prepared. You may want to prepare for hard questions and when you have an open
forum. It is a good way for ASUNM to talk about events and ask some good questions.

5. Sen. Zank: It’s a good way to bring the policy and the issues to students in a public place.

6. Chair: Just make sure that everyone is scheduled and set up and make sure that everyone on the panel knows the numbers and the data so they can.

7. Sen. Zank: I can have it for Friday for everyone.

8. Advisor: Work with Chris to book the atrium and she can do that for you.


vi. Senator Vigilant Event:

1. Sen. Vigilant: We are set for November 19th at 4:00 PM in the SUB. We have the chairs ready.

2. Chair: Have you reached out to the DOC about the flyers?


4. Chair: Is everything planned out?


vii. Student Success Week:

1. Chair: We are set to go with Dion’s after I finally got into contact with them. How many people are we getting tonight, like 100-150 people.

2. Advisor: That is the number you may reach; all the food doesn’t have to come from one location.

3. Chair: The person from Dion’s suggested getting 34 Pizzas a night and they will do all three nights.

4. Advisor: Well we can buy like 16 pizzas a night.

5. Chair: Yeah, I think people will eat the pizza. Should I say that 34 pizzas is good?

6. Advisor: It’s up to you guys.

7. Chair: We have the full thousand for the night, how many how you all went through.

8. Advisor: During welcome back days we can got through 180 pizzas.
9. Sen. Ali: For all the pizzas it would be $610 for all three nights. Let me see if it is more expensive with toppings.
10. Advisor: That number sounds about right.
11. Chair: That sounds good, Sen. Ali you were supposed to reach out to Insomnia Cookies?
13. Advisor: So instead of saying I want this many cookies, this is how big our budget is so maybe they can donate cookies to everyone. If you say I have $40 or $50 a night, you can reach out and ask for donation.
15. Sen. Zank: We should do pizza and cookies with drinks.
16. Sen. Gonzalez: We should make a budget to see how much we are spending per night.
17. Advisor: Try and switch up the different food items.
18. Sen. Gonzalez: Since we are a Pepsi campus, what can we do for drinks.
19. Advisor: We can reach out to Pepsi and ask for donations.
20. Chair: We really need to figure out how much everything is going to cost.
21. Advisor: Do you all know how to ask for donations and how to order things? You want to explain the event to the people on the phone and mention how in the past businesses like you have given us a discount, would your business be interested in doing that again. We can buy some and have some donated. That is basically what you want to do, we will recognize our sponsors.
22. Chair: Can you contact Cheba by the end of the week.
24. Chair: You can use the email that I drafted earlier in the semester and also
try calling them. How was in charge of Chick-Fil-A?

25. Sen. Ali: Me, I am reaching out still for donations that we can get catered with nuggets.

26. Chair: We really need these restaurants to be figured out ASAP. Y’all were told about these places like the second meeting and the only one we know is Dion’s and we really need to get everything figured out. If you can’t let me know and I can try to help find out. We can always try to get more thing.

27. Sen. Irving: I had personal things going on.

28. Chair: It’s not just against you or anything.

29. Advisor: The sooner we get things out we can make better graphics and get things made earlier and focus on all the other things.

30. Chair: If you really can’t get things done, let me know and I can step in.

viii. Student Fee Outreach:

1. Chair: I talked to Pres. Biederwolf and his COS about planning. They like the idea of being able to make visuals when you present at classrooms and student orgs. They are working on making flyers. There will be supplies in my locker so we can get the boards done so we can start speaking about it and inform their agency.

2. Sen. Ali: We have a lot of Instagram and Twitter accounts; would it be interesting if we made an ASUNM Senate account to broadcast our social postings and just post about our senate business. We don’t have just a Senate Instagram or Twitter.

3. Chair: Is Senate allowed to have a social media.

4. Advisor: Yes, there is nothing that says you all can do that. People may not follow it and keep up with it.

5. DOC: I’m not sure how we could get it up and make students pay attention. We
can only get student’s attention if they are interested in ASUNM. We have had discussions about live-streaming full senate meetings, we want to make it more accessible to students.

ix. General Outreach Planning:
   1. Chair: Did any organizations come to you all? None.

x. MOTION to Move Back into Parliamentary Procedure
   1. Seconded
   2. Questions and Discussion
      a. None
   3. MOTION Passes 5-0-0

4. Closing
   a. Members of the Committee: None
   b. Vice Chair: None
   c. Chair: Good meeting everyone, please try to get into contact with everyone who we are ordering food from. Let me know about prices so we can put things into the budget, and I can send out the budget to you all.
   d. Meeting adjourned at 6:58 PM