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1. Opening
   1. Call to Order
      1. Chairwoman Polsin officially calls the November 4th, 2020 Finance Committee meeting to order at 5:30 pm.
      2. MOTION to move out of parliamentary procedure
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed
      3. MOTION to move back into parliamentary procedure
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed
   2. Roll Call
      1. All senators are present
   3. Approval of Agenda
      1. MOTION to approve the agenda
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed
   4. Approval of Minutes
      1. MOTION to approve the minutes
         1. MOTION is seconded and passed
2. Preliminary Business
1. Finance Chair
   1. Thanks for coming, even though we have no business, and also for participating in the standing rules Kahoot game.
2. Members of the Committee
   1. Sen. Olaguir: Are their people from ELL or newly elected senators here that want to speak?
3. Public Comment
   1. Andrea Benites: Hi, I’m a newly elected senator and I’m just here to see you do your thing.
4. New Business
   1. Appropriations
      1. None
   2. Budget Revisions
      1. None
5. Closing
   1. Members of the Committee
      1. None
   2. SGAO
      1. Good to see you all even though not much is going on. I like the standing rules Kahoot game, it’s a great way to polish up your knowledge.
   3. Vice-Chair
      1. Hope you’re having a good day and good to see y’all.
   4. Finance Chair
      1. Thanks for still coming tonight and doing the Kahoot, I encourage you to go to S&R after this.
   5. Adjournment
      1. Chairwoman Polsin officially adjourns the November 4th, 2020 Finance Meeting at 5:46 pm.
I. Opening
   A. Call to Order
      1. Chair Regalado: I call this meeting to order at 5:36 PM
      2. MOTION to move out of Parliamentary Procedure
         a) MOTION is seconded and passed
      3. Senators talk about business for the evening
         a) Bill #12F
            (1) This bill is taking out the part that advises the
                Vice President to put Attorney General Lawbook
                Edits on the agenda every meeting. This section
                belongs in Standing Rules instead of the Lawbook.
            (2) Confirmed that AG Lutz will give the Authorship
                speech when presenting this bill.
            (3) Thinks that everything is good as is and looks
                like there doesn’t need to be any further changes.
         b) Bill #13F
            (1) This bill is the Election’s Bill with some
                additions and edits.
            (2) Discussed leaving Section 1 to (3-6) due to
                conversation in Full Senate last week.
(3) Section 3 in the bill, is adding student outreach which is the same.
(4) Article 9 Section 2 in the bill is new and might not be worded well.
(5) Discussed that line 101 is the same one that was moved in Full Senate.
(6) Discussed the changes that Senator Polsin made.

c) Bill #14F
   (1) Discussed changing wording to “upon receiving their assignments”, or “within five days of receiving their assignments”.
   (2) Discussed that lines 115-123 are an addition to the bill.
   (3) Encouraged discussion on the bill as a whole in support of the bill.
   (4) Thinks that everything is good as is and looks like there doesn’t need to be any further changes.

d) Bill #15F
   (1) Thinks that everything is good as is and looks like there doesn’t need to be any further changes.

e) Bill #16F
   (1) The intention with this bill is to make sure there is mock committee and senate meetings.
   (2) Discussed a concern of all of these meetings being a lot for incoming Senators.

f) Bill #17F
   (1) This bill is changing who can contest an election and the time period of when it needs to be contested by.
   (2) Thinks that everything is good as is and looks like there doesn’t need to be any further changes.

g) Resolution #8F
   (1) This resolution is a resolution and class project that targets private prisons and UNM’s association with them.
   (2) Discussed changing how the United States is abbreviated throughout the resolution to create uniformity and consistency. A striking motion will be need to be made.

4. MOTION to move into Parliamentary Procedure
   a) MOTION is seconded and passed

5. Chair Regalado: I call this meeting to order at 6:16 PM
B. Roll Call
   1. Five out of six Senators are present
C. Approval of Minutes
   1. MOTION to approve the minutes
      a) MOTION is seconded and passed
D. Approval of Agenda
   1. MOTION to approve the agenda
      a) MOTION is seconded and passed
II. Preliminary Business
A. Chair
   1. Chair Rodriguez: As I stated before if there are any Senators, we needed to kind of make some changes to some of the titling in the Lawbook, so that way it can be easier to find things. If anyone hasn’t written a resolution before it would be a really easy piece of legislation to write and kind of learn how to do it. So if you want to reach out to me or any members of our committee, we can definitely help you write some legislation, we can do that. If the chairs want to let other members of their committee know that too, since next Friday is the last day for the whole semester to submit legislation. With that we do have a lot of business. I think we went over it fairly well in Pre-S&R, so I think we are good to go. I hope we have a really good meeting and yeah.
B. Members of the Committee
   1. No opening remarks from members of the committee
C. Public Comment – The public may submit public comment to be read aloud to asunmsandr@unm.edu
   1. No comments from the public
III. New Business
A. Bill #12F – Amendment to the Executive Code concerning AG Lawbook Edits
   1. MOTION to open
      a) MOTION is seconded and passed
   2. Authorship
      a) AG Lutz: Thank you Sir Chair, so I wrote this bill to take out a section under the Attorney General Section of the Lawbook that just instructs the Vice President to add the section “AG Lawbook Edits” to every Full Senate Agenda. This is just something that we typically put in the Standing Rules in the beginning of each year. Such instruction isn’t typically added in the Lawbook, so I just wanted to take it out for uniformity. That’s it.
   3. Questions
4. **Discussion**  
a) Senator Hotz: I just wanted to say that I completely agree with the purpose of this bill. I think consistency with the Lawbook is really important, so I definitely support this bill and commend AG Lutz for making sure that the Lawbook is consistent throughout the semester.

5. Bill #12F passes with a vote of 4-0-0-1

**B. Bill #13F – Amendment to the Elections Code in the Lawbook**

1. **MOTION to open**  
a) **MOTION is seconded and passed**

2. **Authorship**  
a) Vice Chair Rodriguez: Thank you Sir Chair, so this went to Full Senate and coming back to committee it’s a bit revised now. There is a part about conducting student outreach that was present during Full Senate. There is also a part about providing any form of monetary aid to solicit votes, and there is also an added part about maintaining neutrality, so that is what 13F talks about.

3. **Question**  
a) No questions

4. **Discussion**  
a) Senator Hotz: I MOTION on line 128, after “ballot items” and before the “.” to add “and must remain neutral”. So to repeat that, line 128, one you get to “ballot items” add “and must remain neutral”, and then STRIKE the rest of it after the “.”.  

(1) **MOTION is seconded and passed**

b) Senator Hotz: That’s really all I had with this bill, but I just felt that that helped create consistency with the Lawbook so that way there is not justification as to why we are doing that, but still ensuring that they are remaining neutral in the election. I feel like that does a little bit of both with that motion as it stands. With the rest I believe it looks good.

c) Senator Jaffee: It says on line 101, “any candidate or election worker”, should “student” be included? That’s a question but what would happen if I included…nope. I don’t know, I am going to put “student” in there.

(1) Senator Hotz: So, I guess I am asking the previous Senator to clarify that a little bit more. In like the reasoning behind that do you mean to where like no one outside of the campaign is like...
“hey if you vote for this person, I will give you money and I am not running”, or did you, was that the reasoning? Or did you want it to still be specific to this? I feel like election worker and candidate, they are still students, so I think students still falls under that, but I understand wanting “students” there. I was just wondering for clarification.

(2) AG Lutz: Just looking at the section of the Lawbook above that, it says, it always puts “candidate” and “campaign worker” together. I think the correct terminology for that should be “campaign worker” instead of “election worker”, because I think that would cover any student volunteering for a campaign and not a person working for Elections Commission. Or, you can include both, I do think “campaign worker” should be included in that just for the sake of consistency.

(3) Vice Chair Rodriguez: I think this might be difficult to phrase because we are not in questions but, I guess I am wondering if it would be necessary if any other committee members would see it necessary to add possibly “student”. This is because there has been instances where people outside of just the candidates and election workers have or can possibly offer monetary aid to solicit votes. So, maybe we should consider that.

d) Senator Jaffee: So, MOTION to add “student” before “candidate” and punctuate accordingly, and then STRIKE “election” and add “campaign”.

(1) MOTION is seconded
(2) AG Lutz: I was just thinking because I was looking further up in the Lawbook. Any time that a clause can be applied to a large group of people, they just say “any person” instead of naming any time of person that can violate that rule. I don’t know if we just want to consider saying “any person is prohibited” instead of naming each type of person, just to be consistent with the rest of the Lawbook. That’s all.

(3) Senator Hotz: I completely agree with AG. I was also just going to add that like when, like according to the elections code, if a student were to be handing out free stuff on behalf of that
campaign, that campaign would have to like claim that. So, like you know if a Senator was re-running for an election and their friend was giving out free stuff, they would have to put that under that financial form and that would still count towards their campaign. So, I was going to say that I don’t really know if that is necessary, but I think just to hit all the marks, I think it would be fine just to say “person” like AG Lutz recommended. I was just trying to add that as well, that I don’t think that scenario really happens and I think that’s really clear that they have to claim that, so you know if a student were to be campaigning that isn’t connected to the campaign, it is still connected to the campaign according to elections’ guidelines.

(4) AG Lutz: Sorry, I lost my Zoom and I meant to unmute myself not lower my hand. What I was going to do, was just read the definition. Ryan Lindquist suggested I read the definition of “campaign worker” just to show what it covers. In the Lawbook, a “campaign worker” is any person or organization involved in the campaign for the passage defeat of an issue on the ballot or aids a candidate or groups of candidates in the solicitation of votes for the purpose of blah blah blah…or consents to the aid, or is aware of it and does not attempt to stop it. Aiding in a campaign includes and is not limited to creating and/or distributing campaign material, fundraising, and soliciting votes in any manner. So, I think with that definition of “campaign worker”, it really encompasses all that we are trying to get at in this clause. I know just a few seconds ago I said, “a person”, but I really think that with this definition we could just say “candidate or campaign worker” and that would suffice.

(5) Senator Jaffee: I still like “person” just because it could be like Ryan Lindquist that offers money. Like I know he wouldn’t, but that is like another, it could be Bill Gates that is offering that. I just think that no direct monetary aid should be offered. It’s more broad.

(6) Senator Hotz: I was going to offer a friendly amendment at this time, but probably not seeing as
the previous sentiment. I see what you are saying, but that would still have to count towards their...like if Bill Gates were to endorse this student and give them thousands of dollars, that wouldn’t be allowed and they would be disqualified from the election, because they have a maximum of $150 you know. So, like even if they were to give that money to the student, they are only allowed to use $150 for their campaign. I don’t know, I have mixed feelings, but I kind of agree with AG Lutz and feel that “campaign worker” or “candidate” suffices. I’m fine with also adding “person” so we can just vote on this.

(7) AG Lutz: I just wanted to say one last time, in the first line of the definition of “campaign worker” it says “any person or” and then it goes into how they can be involved, so I really think the definition we have in the Lawbook, that we already established, includes like if Bill Gates were to walk on campus and offer money. I feel like that really suffices and we just don’t want to make it too wordy in my opinion just to make sure the Lawbook is as concise and straight forward as possible. That’s it for me.

(8) Senator Jaffee: My last comment and then I will accept a friendly amendment. What about like “election workers”, like the election commission people that run the ballot boxes? Would their offering of money be included in this? Sorry we are not in questions.

(9) AG Lutz: Just to address a previous Senator. I think if it was an Elections Commissioner that was offering money, it would violate a later part in the Lawbook that says that they are not allowed to endorse or like campaign or anything for any candidate. I don’t think we have to include it in here because it is already mentioned later in the Elections Code.

(10) Senator Hotz: Does the author accept a FRIENDLY AMENDMENT to remove “student,”?
     (a) Senator Jaffee: Yes.

(11) Chair Regalado: So now it reads “candidate or campaign worker”.
C. Bill #14F – Amendment to Legislative Code to Add Sponsoring Resource Centers to Senator’s Responsibilities

1. MOTION to open
   a) MOTION is seconded and passed

2. Authorship
   a) Senator Hotz: I would like to yield the rest of my time to Chief of Staff Lopez.
   b) Chief of Staff Lopes: Thank you Chair Regalado and thank you Senator Hotz. So, Bill #14F tonight, what we are trying to do is kind of something we had wanted to do since we came into this administration. What it does, is it allows Senators to set up sponsoring resource renters. It is supposed to work kind of the same way that it works for Agencies, where Senators will be sponsoring these different resource centers. We hope in the future that they will be giving updates on this in Full Senate and this can grow into something even bigger but for right now it is set up so they can meet with these resource centers hopefully every month and are able to grow that relationship with them as well as learn and be able to report back to Full Senate on it. The way we have it established now, is we have a couple of establishers for Senators, but as we know the list of resource centers at UNM is growing, which is good. We have a way for those to also be made and be able to be included in the future. I reached out to the head people of the resource centers through e-mail this past week, and we heard back from El Centro de La Raza, from Rosa, and she let us know that she thought it was a great idea and that they love the prospect of this bill. I don’t know if I can stand for questions or anything. I’ve never done this before in an S&R meeting, so I will go ahead and yield.

3. Questions
   a) No questions

4. Discussion
   a) Vice Chair Rodriguez: I think this is a great bill that was drafted. Currently the only mandated form of communication that we have as Senators with Joint Council or I’m sorry the resource centers is through Joint Council. The requirements outlined in this bill would provide excellent opportunities to work directly with the resource centers and even with the Joint Council
Representatives that are appointed. I am really happy to support this.

b) Senator Hotz: I also agree with a previous Senator. I think this would really open up a lot of different doors for ASUNM. I just wanted to say that I am in full support of this bill as well, just because I think that it would do a lot to create better connections with ASUNM Senate with different parts on campus. I really liked how the author addressed how this could potentially be a part of the agenda and announcements that the Senators normally do for the different ASUNM Agencies. I see a lot of potential with this bill.

c) Senator Jaffee: MOTION to vote
(1) MOTION is seconded and passed

5. Bill #14F passes with a vote of 4-0-0-1

D. Bill #15F – Amendment of the Definitions Code to Add “Resource Center”

1. MOTION to open
a) MOTION is seconded and passed

2. Authorship
a) Senator Hotz: I would like to yield the remainder of my time to Chief of Staff Lopez.
b) Chief of Staff Lopez: Thank you Chair Regalado and thanks again Senator Hotz! So this bill, all it does is adds the definition “resource center” to our Definitions Code, I believe that’s what it is called. Main reason we did that is just so that we don’t have to define it every time we use it in the previous bill. Super intuitive because we also put in there the fact that there is a chance for it to be increased in the future, like I had mentioned in my last authorship speech. So, that’s kind of all it is, and I will stand for any questions.

3. Questions
a) Senator Hotz: I was just wondering if the authors would be open to adding like “Veteran’s Resource Center” and “LoboRESPECT Advocacy Center”? Or if that was more like the reason why you didn’t want to list all of them.
   (1) Chief of Staff Lopez: Yes! Totally! We had talked about it and we had just decided that if we had tried to add all of the resource centers right then and there, we might have included some things, and there is a whole bunch of them, ones we don’t even think of when we are sitting there.
That is why we included that little area where the Vice President is able to make the call on kind of whatever resource centers they would like to include as well. If you want to include this now, I would not be opposed at all. I think it is a great idea either way.

(2) President Amin: Yeah. I definitely echo that. If we are adding resource center names, we might as well add “Accessibility Resource Center”, because I think that would be the only one left out at that point. I definitely agree that having that last section, where the Vice President has the ability to add and determine, opens the possibility to add like APACC if that’s created hopefully in the future or other resource centers we are forgetting.

4. Discussion

a) Vice Chair Rodriguez: I think this is straight forward and is definitely needed in conjunction with the passage of the previous bill, so it explicitly outlines what the resource centers are, and if not listed, any additional resource centers would be at the discretion of the Vice President to add. So, I think that this is a great addition and I am happy to support it.

b) Senator Jaffee: I would want to know if this bill in Full Senate should be introduced previous to the previous bill that was just introduced. I think it was 13F…14F, so 15F before 14F.

(1) Chair Regalado: Typically when I am putting stuff on the agenda, I put the definition second so that way if the previous bill doesn’t pass, we just go on ahead and vote no on the definition that is associated with the previous bill.

(2) Senator Hotz: To address a previous Senator, I think if you are very passionate about doing that you can also, like if the Vice President does make the agenda and you feel very passionately about switching them you can always like amend the agenda and re-arrange them. Like you can never add to the agenda, but you can still re-arrange things on the agenda, so that is a potential. But going off of what I was going to say, I definitely agree with the purpose of the definition. I was wondering if it would be a good idea to add more
resource centers. I only say that just because as of now these are the centers that are required that ASUNM Senators would reach out to. I would just like hate for any center to feel disenfranchised or like not included because they aren’t, because it’s not mandated by the Lawbook. I would hate for us to reach out to Accessibility Resource Center this year and like next year we don’t, or some discrepancy like that. I don’t know if that would happen, like obviously it would change by Vice President, so that is why I’m only worried about that wording since the Vice President does change every year. I would just be worried one resource center may not feel represented, but I think still leaving that wording is important that way we can add more resource centers that are established in the future if that makes sense. I am not sure if I am making any sense, but I would feel that it would be okay to add a couple of resource centers that are already established and that we already know what they are and like their purpose.

c) Senator Hotz: On line 129 I MOTION to add, no sorry on line 139 add “Accessibility Resource Center” before “African American Student Services” and also add “LoboRESPECT Advocacy Center” after “LGBTQ Resource Center” and then “,”, and then also add…

(1) Vice Chair Rodriguez: Sorry, “Advocacy Center”?

(2) Senator Hotz: Yes, “LoboRESPECT Advocacy Center”, and then I believe RESPECT is in all caps, but I’m not sure. Also “Veteran’s Resource Center” before “Women’s Resource Center”.

(3) MOTION is seconded

(4) Senator Jaffee: I think Lobo RESPECT is one word as well as “respect” being capitalized.

(a) Vice Chair Rodriguez: As well as what I’m sorry?

(b) Senator Jaffee: It’s one word like LoboRESPECT.

(5) Senator Hotz: I would accept a friendly amendment to change “Lobo RESPECT” to one word, but also add “Global Education Office” and
any potential resource centers that you all think is necessary.

(6) Senator Mondloch: Would the author accept a FRIENDLY AMENDMENT to change “Lobo RESPECT” into one complete word without a space?

(a) Senator Hotz: Yes, I accept.

(7) Senator Jaffee: Would the author accept a FRIENDLY AMENDMENT to add after “American Indian Student Services”, “College Enrichment Programs” and after “El Centro”, “Global Education Office”.

(a) Senator Hotz: Yes, I accept.

(8) Senator Jaffe: Clarification, it was “College Enrichment Programs”, plural.

(9) Senator Mondloch: Would we include the “Dean of Student’s Office” in this list as well seeing as though they offer a lot of emergency loans and things of that nature, and partner closely with some of these resource centers? I don’t feel strongly one way or the other, but I would just like to see if the rest of the committee feels if it needs to be included.

(a) Senator Hotz: I just wanted to respond to a previous Senator. I think I am completely in favor of that. I don’t really have any negations or like I guess the same, I don’t feel strongly either way. I guess I am wondering if they would fall under the definition of a resource center, but I understand. Hmmm, I think that would fall under the part where it says, “any other department deemed necessary by the Vice President”, because since they don’t receive money from the Student Fee Review Board as a center maybe it wouldn’t fall under that by definition. But I am also unsure.

(b) Senator Mondloch: Just to respond to a previous Senator statement. I definitely agree, I did miss that last part on 132 and 133, “other departments deemed necessary by the Vice President”. I do think that it is better like a previous Senator said to maybe
not include them on this list, just because it might not fit our exact definition, but have it open to interpretation where they could be considered if necessary.

(10) Senator Hotz: Sorry you all, this motion got really long. I would also be okay with a friendly amendment to add “Center for Financial Capability”.

(11) Senator Mondloch: Would the author accept a FRIENDLY AMENDMENT to add after “American Indian Student Services”, “Center for Financial Capability”?

(a) Senator Hotz: I accept. Thank you.

(12) MOTION passes

d) Senator Hotz: Thank you Sir Chair, I am really sorry about that motion taking forever, but I definitely think that it was good to just add those. I really wanted to commend the authors for making this bill. I think it has been talked about a lot throughout the time I’ve been in Senate to include more collaboration with resource centers, so I think it’s really great that we are finally putting this into the Lawbook.

5. Bill #15 passes with a vote of 4-0-0-1

E. Bill #16F – Amendment to Legislative Code to Add “Mock Meetings” to Senate Retreat

1. MOTION to open

a) MOTION is seconded and passed

2. Authorship

a) Vice Chair Rodriguez: This was authored, I believe introduced by Senator Sandoval and we had other authors as well as Senator Hill and Sir Chair Regalado that worked on this. Basically this just adds more depth to the training that new Senators will receive. It specifies that they will go through some mock trainings and that’s basically the entirety of Bill #16F.

3. Questions

a) No questions

4. Discussion

a) Vice Chair Rodriguez: I just want to applaud Senator Sandoval, Senator Hill, and our Sir Chair Regalado for drafting a bill that will make the experience of a new Senator just more comprehensive and a complete one. Training like this, such as outlined in this bill is
definitely needed, specifically for the hands-on experience and preparation that is needed for Senators to succeed in their positions. I just wanted to commend the authors.

b) Senator Hotz: I just wanted to add onto our conversations in Pre-S&R. I just wanted to see how we all felt, so at the very end of section, or the Subsection C, it reads, “after each Senator has completed all three mock committee meetings, a mock Full Senate meeting shall take place”. I was wondering if we would be interested in changing this to like, “each Senator must complete three mock committee meetings and a Full Senate meeting”. That way it doesn’t read like it has to flow in that exact order, but if like they needed to have that Full Senate meeting to elect a President Pro-Tempore at the training, they have the freedom to do so. It doesn’t have to be like the three first and then a Ful Senate or it doesn’t have to happen simultaneously, so it’s a little bit more freedom, but it is requiring that they still have to go to all three and then also do a mock Full Senate meeting. So, again that would be, “each Senator shall complete three mock committee meetings and a mock Full Senate meeting” at the very end. That’s just my suggestion, I am also fine with how it stands, but if we are worried about the process of it all, I think that would be a good change.

(1) Vice Chair Rodriguez: Responding to Senator Hotz, I think that would be a good thing to specify just so it doesn’t have to follow in that order in one day, especially during the retreat where there is a lot of information packed into the day already. I think that would be a great addition here just so it can be done at any point other than just in one day, during retreat for example.

(2) Senator Mondloch: Going off of what previous Senators have said, I do believe it would be a great addition as well. Also for individuals who aren’t able to make it to the retreat, if they have work. That has been my situation for the last two years where I couldn’t make it to the retreat because of work or a family emergency, or anything like that. I think that would be a great addition because then it gives them that leeway to still complete that requirement, but not necessarily feel pressured into trying to have it done all at one time.
c) Senator Hotz: I MOTION on line 41 to STRIKE after until “place.” and then add before that “each Senator shall complete three (3) mock committee meetings and one (1) mock Full Senate meeting”, and then just for clarification “Senator” should be capitalized and “Full Senate”, and then also do the parenthesis after the numbers. So “three (3)” and do that for “one” as well.

(1) MOTION is seconded and passed

d) Vice Chair Rodriguez: I didn’t catch this before, but just for consistencies, I guess I would just encourage you guys to think about whether we should add the parenthesis for the “three” in line 38 after “three”, also after “one”. If anyone could answer that, if we need consistency that would be great.

e) Senator Hotz: MOTION on line 38 after the word “three” to add “(3)” and after “one” add “(1)”.

(1) MOTION is seconded and passed

f) Senator Hotz: Thank you Sir Chair and thank you to a previous Senator for pointing that out. That is something that needs to be consistent with the Lawbook, so really good catch. I thought it would just be easier to keep with the flow of the meeting to add the motion. Thank you for catching that, and with that I think this bill was a really good idea and I think it is ready to be presented to Full Senate.

5. Bill #16F passes with a vote of 4-0-0-1

F. Bill #17F – Amendment to the Elections Code in the Lawbook

1. MOTION to open

a) MOTION is seconded and passed

2. Authorship

a) Senator Hotz: I would like to yield the remainder of my time to Senator Polsin.

b) Senator Polsin: Thank you Senator Hotz and Chair Regalado for having me. I wrote Bill #17F just to kind of add a little bit more accessibility of ASUNM and Elections to all students. I made it so that any student of member of the Elections Commission can have a contest of election with any candidate or the election in general. There was just some grammatical things that I fixed and then with all of the deadlines I added two days just so people have a little bit more time to file contests of elections. I just thought this would be a really good idea just to create a more inclusive environment for ASUNM and if any issues are
seen with elections, they can be brought up by any student and not just the candidates or Elections Commissioners.

3. **Questions**
   a) Senator Hotz: I was wondering on line 62, was the reasoning for the seven days, was that also just to add two days to the deadlines? Was that just to keep it consistent with the rest, or was there other reasoning for seven days?
      (1) Senator Polsin: Yes, so I did add that just to keep it consistent with the rest, but also on line 56 and 44 they have to file that contest of election within five days of the election and then the appeal to the court would have to be filed on that same day. So I just thought adding those two days would give them a little more extra time. I hope that answers your question.
      (2) Senator Hotz: Thank you.

4. **Discussion**
   a) Chair Regalado: The chair would definitely smile, if we don’t have anything to edit, just to have some discussion on this before we go ahead and vote on it.
   b) Senator Hotz: I only brought up that question on line 62 just because I was confused if the seven days meant like seven days from the Elections Commission’s ruling or seven days from the election, and so I was thinking that if it was seven days from the Elections Commission’s ruling that is kind of a long time. If it is seven days past the election and they need that two extra days, I think that’s what it means, then I think that is fine. I just wanted confirmation on that, so seeing that I did get that confirmation with the nods, I am perfectly fine with passing this as is. I think that this is a very good piece of legislation.

5.  Bill #17F passes with a vote of 4-0-0-1

G. **Resolution #8F – Resolution Encouraging Divestment of Educator Retirement Plans from Private Prisons**
   1.  **MOTION to open**
      a)  **MOTION is seconded and passed**
   2.  **Authorship**
      a)  Senator Hotz: I was going to yield to Senator Harper.
      b)  Senator Harper: Hi everyone, as most of you all know I am Senator Raina Harper and I co-sponsored this resolution. Other Senators along with myself believe that private prisons have detrimental effects on the rates of
incarceration and have adverse effects on security and economic viability for the prison system as well. We believe that any retirement plans related to education should not be supporting them and it’s important to recognize that. That’s the importance of this resolution in a nutshell. Thank you.

3. Questions
   a) No questions

4. Discussion
   a) Vice Chair Rodriguez: I just wanted to commend the authors and thank Senators Harpers and Musa for being here today and presenting on this. It was definitely eye-opening when I read it and I am glad that you Senators are giving attention to something of this importance. I am glad to have seen it through our committee.
   b) Senator Mondloch: I also want to commend the authors on this. They did an incredible job of bringing to light something that is very important, something that is more worldwide spread than a lot of people would like it to be. The fact that a lot of schools do invest in private prisons and do invest in the carceral state in general. So, kind of pursuant to our discussion during Pre-S&R, this is relating to UNM’s direct relationship to mass incarceration and the carceral state. I would absolutely support a resolution of ASUNM condemning mass incarceration in general, as well as disproportionate effects and the effects of systemic racism on the criminal justice system and carceral system in general. I definitely want to commend the authors and I want to throw my full support behind this resolution because taking away UNM’s direct relationship with the carceral state and imprisoning individuals for profit, is a very important and very necessary piece of what ASUNM should be doing. I full-heartedly support this bill and I absolutely think we should vote it in.
   c) Senator Hotz: I would like to make a SWEEPING MOTION on line 24, just to be clear I am going to be STRIKING where it says, “United States or U.S.” and put “(U.S.)”. So, STRIKING MOTION on line 24.

   (1) Vice Chair Regalado: Point of clarification, should this one on line 20 also be…
   (2) Senator Hotz: No, because that would be a second motion to add parenthesis and I am just
doing the first. I probably should’ve done that first, but yeah. So on line 24 STRIKE “United States” and add “U.S.” and it will also be on line 37 where it says “US”, line 44 where it says “United States”, line 55 where it says “United States”, on line 80 as well where it says “United States”. There is one also on line 89.

(3) MOTION is seconded

(4) Senator Jaffee: Point of clarification. Is that the end of the motion?
	(a) Senator Hotz: Yes, that is the end of the motion.

(5) AG Lutz: I was just looking on line item 80. I just want to make sure that the apostrophe isn’t stricken out just so the “U.S.” is plural as well.

(6) MOTION passes
d) Senator Hotz: On line 20 I MOTION to add “(U.S.)” after “America”.
	(1) Vice Chair Rodriguez: My apologies, can you please repeat that?
	(2) Senator Hotz: After “America” add “(U.S.)”. Make sure you bold that.
	(3) MOTION is seconded
	(4) Senator Hotz: I just wanted to say really quickly I would be fine with having it right after “United States”. I wasn’t sure if it should be after “America” or the “United States”. I feel like that fits after America because we don’t normally say the whole title. We normally say, “United States”. I feel like that fits but if you want to change the placement of the abbreviation that is fine.
	(5) Senator Jaffee: I think a better alternative would be to strike “America”, or “of America”.
	(6) Senator Jaffee: Would the author accept a FRIENDLY AMENDMENT to STRIKE “of America”? 
	(a) Senator Hotz: I accept.
	(7) MOTION passes
e) Senator Hotz: MOTION on line 24 where it says “one” and “three” do “(1)” and “(3)”. So after the word “one” do “(1)” and then also add that after three. And then also add a “(1)” after one and add another one after “six”, and then add another one after “one” and “seventeen”.
f) Senator Jaffee: I just wanted to say it I don't know during parl-pro. I think it would be more than acceptable if the there was a bill explaining the faults and detriment with America’s incarceration rates as well.

g) Senator Hotz: I just wanted to say that I completely agree with the purpose of this resolution and I think it is really great that we are addressing this topic. I think really serves a great purpose and it's really awesome that we are able to connect with other students that are not Senators at the moment and we’re able to collaborate with different individuals and student organizations on the resolutions that we are making throughout the semester. I am really happy to see that. With that I did have a question about GEO group. I am not sure if that is something that should be spelled out. I’m just ill-informed about what that is. I just wanted to bring that up to the group. I also wanted to bring up if you wanted to also add "U.S. Representative Elect Yvette Herrell". I thought I would just bring that up.

h) Senator Jaffee: I MOTION on line 97 to STRIKE the semicolon and place it after the quotation marks. (1) MOTION is seconded and passed

i) Senator Jaffee: I would wonder if the fifteen should directly follow the quotation marks and not the semicolon.

(1) Senator Hotz: Point of clarification. What was that number and where?
(2) Senator Jaffee: Yeah, so it's line 97 and I am wondering if the fifteen should come directly after, no I'm sorry just the quotation marks.
(3) Senator Hotz: Point of information. Don't we not have to make motions for footnotes. That can be changed, isn't that just a formatting change?
(4) Chair Regalado: Yeah, that's just a change in formatting.
(5) AG Lutz: Yeah, I agree. It’s the same with like semicolons and any punctuation, unless it is like major, that can also be formatting.

j) Senator Hotz: I MOTION on line 41 to spell out “48%” percent as the word “forty-eight percent” and put parenthesis around it.

(1) MOTION is seconded and passed

k) Senator Hotz: With that, I believe that this resolution looks ready to go. I might have missed
something, but I believe that it looks ready, so with that I yield.

5. Resolution 8F passes with a vote of 4-0-0-1

IV. Closing

A. Closing Comments

1. Members of the Committee
   a) No closing comments from members of the committee

2. Vice Chair
   a) Vice Chair Rodriguez: It was good being back, I know I missed a committee meeting, but it was nice being back and being able to go over legislation again. I definitely missed you all last time. I think we had a good meeting, it was an extensive one, but I was glad to see you all and hear from our guests.

3. Chair
   a) Chair Regalado: Thank you for coming prepared and everything and going through a bunch of legislation. We had a lot this week and we have a lot next week in Full Senate. Hopefully all of our hard work today will go well next week. Good job everyone, thank you Siena again for taking minutes for us. I think that is about it. We have one more committee meeting left which is really sad for me, but everyone be safe. If you have any legislation ideas before Friday, let me know if you need help. That’s about it, I hope everyone has a great night and have fun watching the election results. I know I will for sure.

B. Adjournment

1. Chair Regalado: I adjourn this meeting at 7:39 PM
Meeting link: https://unm.zoom.us/s/96109896012

1. Opening
   a. Call to Order: 6:08pm.
   b. Roll Call: All Senators Present.
      i. Chair Harper’s internet went out, Vice Chair Southern is now leading the meeting.
   c. Approval of Agenda: Approved.
   d. Approval of Minutes: Approved.

2. Preliminary Business
   a.

Chair: Hello Friends, the Wi-Fi in my entire building went out. I hope you are safe and doing well mentally. And that you are doing enough things to relieve
your stress; and that we can be as good with our work as we always have been.

b. Public Comment: Members of the public may submit public comment to be read aloud at the meeting to asunmoa@unm.edu

i. No comment.

c. Members of the Committee:

i. No comment.

3. Business

a. Outreach Idea Planning:

i. Student Success Week

Motion by Senator Zhao to move out of Parliamentary Procedure

*Motion was seconded and approved. Committee is out of parliamentary procedure

Chair Harper was able to get internet and come back to the meeting and take over again; we went back in the agenda so she can give her opening remark; check for it above.

Sen. Zhao- I can go first, I emailed six different organizations about the events during student success week and the only one that got back to me was SHAC. They said to take a look at their Instagram and their podcast and if there was any preference for what we wanted to do and let her know and then she will send the graphics to us. In regard to the money, she said any sort of contribution towards incentives that can be shipped to students like gift cards so they can participate in student success week would be a good idea.

Chair Harper- Excellent. Have we heard anything from caps?

Senator Karo- The only update I have is that I did a lot of work earlier on just planning out ideas of like self-care tips, study tips, a DIY activity, I can make another one if we want. I also put in pricing for streaming services and if they offer any deals and discounts to students.

Chair Harper- Okay Excellent. Have any other committee members heard back from their students’ orgs they reached out to? I think when I meet with the Honors Student Association this weekend and PAWS; I have heard of some events that they will be putting on that we may be able to assist with, and I will get further confirmation with them when we meet on Friday. In the meantime, I think with the SHAC we have some big developments there and we will be able to
share things with our student organizations in general; any event that they are putting on; would be good to share.

Senator Karo- I feel like maybe we should set a due date for everything so we can get it finalized.

Chair Harper- Yes of course; I would suggest this Monday as the date for that. Does anyone else have thoughts for what it should be? We have some good developments right now and we should be able to make good progress.

ii. Legislation/ASUNM Information

Sen. Harper- We should coordinate with DOC Candland to create graphics including parts of what the steering and rules committee deals with. It would be very helpful to post these graphics on social media informing students on how to go about creating legislation.

Sen. Karo- I think it would be best to only include the basics and to just keep it simple and if it sparks a student’s interest, they can reach out to a chair.

DOC Candland- Will you all be reaching out to steering and rules for a comprehensive list to make legislation graphics?

Sen. Harper- Yes, I will be reaching out to Chair Regalado and ask him to discuss this with his committee, thank you.

iii. Title IX Informational Forum/Social Media Content

Sen. Harper- I proposed to Angela Catena a couple ideas about outreach regarding the change to title ix. Apparently, she had a forum over the summer that had over 300 participants. We could also hold a virtual question and answer session if you all would like that idea better.

VP Milan- Did Angela ever say what she prefers to do? I know she has a lot of meetings so we can accommodate her in whatever she prefers.

Sen. Harper- Her email didn’t specify any preferred method, she just said she looked forward to hearing what the committee thinks would be the most effective.

Sen. Karo- If it’s a forum, it could be as simple as inviting people to come and then having her office give a presentation explaining the changes and then opening it up to questions.

Sen. Harper- Once we pick a date and time, someone in the office or Angela would be able to do the presentation.
Sen. Karo- I think we should pick a date or two and give them to her and whatever works best for her, we can go with it.

Sen. Harper- what do we think of early. In the day this coming next Thursday or Friday.

Sen. Karo- Next Friday might be okay, but also, it’s Friday so I don’t know how many people would want to be on zoom.

VP Milan- I think having it before thanksgiving is something we should do as campus closes after that

Sen. Southern- I agree, I was going to say maybe that week before thanksgiving would be best, perhaps the 16th and the 19th we could propose to their office.

Sen. Harper- I think the 19th would be a good day for me at least, does anyone have any objections? Okay, excellent. Would early afternoon be best?

Sen. Karo- I know some people work so maybe having it in the evening would be better.

Sen. Harper- Of course. Would around 5 sound reasonable? Okay excellent. I will propose to her office the forum being Thursday the 19th at 5 p.m. and if there is a conflict, we can make further deliberations then.

VP Milan- Will you please send me all of that information so I can include it in the email to senators this week and they can distribute the graphic to their student orgs.

Sen. Harper- Of course, I’ll send you a message this evening.

iv. Open Outreach Discussion

Sen. Karo- I think we should maybe just focus on what we are doing currently so that we can end the semester on a high note and finish with some good events so that we don’t get too overwhelmed and stretched too thin.

Sen. Southern- Do we know if senators on other committees have gotten any feedback from their student orgs regarding student success week and any funding or resources they may need?

Sen. Harper- I haven’t heard of any, but I will keep you updated if I hear of anything.

*motion to move back into parliamentary procedure. The motion is moved and seconded, and the committee moved back into parliamentary procedure.

4. Closing
a. Members of the Committee

**Sen. Karo**- I just wanted to say I hope everyone is doing okay. It’s been a stressful week and a stressful semester. I’m sad this semester is coming to an end.

**Sen. Le**- I just want to shoutout Sen. Southern for running this meeting when you, Chair Harper were having internet problems. Also shout out to Chair Harper for finding a way to get onto this meeting.

b. **Vice Chair**- This was a good meeting, thank you all for being patient with me and gracious while I tried to navigate leading the meeting. Also thank you Andrea and VP Milan for helping me through that. I’d like to second Sen. Karo by saying it’s a really stressful time so please make sure you are taking care of yourselves and please feel free to reach out to me if you ever need anything.

c. **Chair**- Thank you all for bearing with me during this unexpected problem that came at the worst possible time. Thank you so much to our vice chair, Sen. Southern for stepping up and running things, I know it must have been very nerve racking and difficult and I very much appreciate it. Thanks to Andrea and DOC Candland as well as VP Milan and also to all of the members of the public for stepping into the meeting to learn about this process. I hope we can be productive over the next couple of weeks and I’m proud of the work we have done to start setting things up for student success week. Stay safe and I hope you guys can stay mentally healthy as well and feel free to contact me as well if you want to talk at any time.

d. Adjournment: 6:43pm