



STEERING & RULES AGENDA

Wednesday, April 9th, 2025

5:30 PM

Student Union Building Cherry/Silver

Mary
Garcia

Taylor Hedrick

Maya
Aldosari

Rohith
Yangalasetty

Britten Ratcliff

Nickolas
Romero

A. Opening

Call To Order

- Called to order at 5:38pm

Land Acknowledgement

Roll Call

- 5 Present, 0 absent

Approval of Agenda

- Seconded and passed

Approval of Minutes

- Seconded and passed

B. Preliminary Business

Chair: Thank you to those who got here on time. Please try to be here on time for Oh, thank you guys for being here on time. For those of you who are, please try to be here on time for our next committee meeting, because that is our last committee meeting that we have, and I'm feeling we're gonna have a lot of business to go through that too. We did get a lot of work done in pre SRM, which I'm very proud of. I do want to try to get you guys to contact me before our final meeting, just so that we can kind of go over legislation and get ready also, too. Just a reminder that it is required that each senator in the s Mark committee writes at least two pieces of legislation. So if you haven't done so yet, please talk to me. I'm here to help. If you have any other questions just let me know. And that is all that I have.

Members of the Committee:

Sen. Romero: Thank you. Chair Garcia, I would just like to say I do have a lot of bills today, and I understand some individuals opposed to them, I would just ask that we keep an open mind and try to think about things from different perspectives

Public Comment:

Rhia Schultz: Happy Wednesday, happy second to last one, I have a couple of things that I wanted to mention. The first one is, this is on behalf of Anthony Languit, who is the director of the Elections Commission. He wanted me to note that he does not personally agree with 20 9s or 30 6s which will have to do with election codes. He said he was not consulted beforehand, and he thinks they could be shifted or adjusted or not proposed at all. So that's on behalf of Anthony Lee with on behalf of 20 3s



which is the one that updates the duties of POC, to get a little more context on why this bill is written. Currently, how my marketing assistance is set up is I have one for all the agencies, but I do GA. GA is a bigger pool than I thought it was going to be when I started, but when I started in the position that I did now, GA took up pretty much all of my hours. It took a lot of time to cut the South Point to the legislative session with getting different marketing out of all of this stuff like that you guys saw with the field for all logos, and there was like the tote bag, shirts, all of that stuff. So I think it would be a more efficient use of time to have a marketing assistant be able to do that work instead of POC, where I already have a lot of other work. And then, in exchange, my role would take on student court, which would work on different court cases and keeping up their Instagram. And part of that working with Juan or senator, not Senator Chief Justice Romero, is that it would meet with someone from the student court at least five times a semester to make sure that their needs are met. On a more personal note, this one is really just my opinion, so you guys can take this or leave this, but on 20 1s I think it's difficult to determine what's appropriate and inappropriate, and it's difficult for people that may not have the funds to have business where, while there's resources to get that, it's hard to determine who makes the call. If it's something inappropriate. There's women's louses where you're like, Yeah, you could go out to a club in that, or you could pull up to a meeting in that as well. It's kind of hard to tell, and it's hard to say who would make that so that's my personal note. I think it's really vague and I think it can hurt people that maybe don't have the funds.

Kira AD of Lobo Spirit: Just have a few comments on that. This position would be super, super helpful. We have a bunch of new athletic leadership. And like the athletic side. They're far away from campus, and a position like this would help to be here. They want to be here, our new football coach, our new basketball coach, our new athletic director, want to be here and want to be more involved with the students on this campus. So a position like this would just help, like help create those connections. All right, this position would just help with things go smoother, like the football coach at the Red rally. I don't think you'll understand how difficult it was to get well at the Red rally this year. So having a position like that would just hopefully make that transition process easier, as well as reading the new Head Basketball Coach leads to speak at Fiesta, things like that. And then also having it, I know some people are like, Why isn't a low spirit position? Since it is such an athletics-heavy position, having it as a special director will offer all agencies. And then also the Senate. I don't know if you all would ever be interested in using that, but having it under the Office of the President will just allow more people within ASUNM to utilize that position. Lastly, we're already looking at doing partnerships, using Lobo Spirit and Howl Raisers for events next year, and then also working with athletics to just kind of run some of those things. So a position like this will just help with long-term sustainability, just because right now, the head of Lily, who's our executive director of local sphere right now, is close, because the head of the house razors does not mean that that will be the same for the next administration. So, having a position that is specifically targeted to help make those athletic connections will be really beneficial for everyone.



Andrew Norton: All right, hello, everyone. I know all of you, but if I don't, my name is Andrew Norton, currently the Executive Director of Student Special Events. I'm next year's ASUNM student body president. This is gonna be a long one overall, though I'm really excited to be here, like I'm just thankful I know that the look, right, sorry guys, but there's a lot of pills going through here. I really don't have any involvement in this. It's really cool to watch you guys all do this. I'm gonna carry off the athletic affairs position. This is something that I created that I really wanted to work with. That phone, I'm not a joke. And that phone call I just took, doing that was, let's go check. I don't want to be doing this coordination right now at six o'clock on a Wednesday night when I'm trying to focus on a set. That's the problem right now. She has to communicate. I have to communicate. The Selfless Film Center has to communicate. You guys have to communicate. It is unprofessional that we have to have so many different outlets communicating with athletics, because then they have to reintroduce themselves to us. And guess what? Each year we revise ourselves, and then each year, they get a new head coach. So here's the issue: most schools have an athletic affairs director in their student government. They're responsible for handling all communication so it looks formal. It comes from one person. There's one person to blame when something goes wrong, one person to credit when things go right. That's how it shouldn't work in my opinion. So the goal this position is going to be covered, you're going to see these questions, it's going to be a stymied position, first off, finding the money for that or trying to make sure it works about sports discretionary funds, whatever it is we have a \$1.25 million budget we can't find millions for a stipend position, I'm going to be blown away. It comes from one person. There's one person to blame when something goes wrong, one person to credit when things go right. That's how it should work, in my opinion. So the goal of this position is going to be covered. We're going to see these questions. It's going to be a stipend position. First off, finding the money for that. We're trying to make sure it works and bounce forwards, discretionary funds, whatever it is. We have a \$1.25 million budget. We can't find money for a \$2,000 stipend position. I would be blown away. Secondly, going off of that, this position is dedicated to multiple things, right? I spoke to the coach. I spoke to the soccer coach. I spoke to the teams, the players they want, someone that they can feel represents them in student government. Because realistically, do we see athletes on campus? They go to class, they go to practice, they go home, because they just had to do three hours of workouts. The last place you're going to see them as a full senate meeting. Promise you that right now. So the goal of this position is they can communicate their requests and things like that through monthly or BI monthly meetings with the ad, whether it's a banana logo, whether it's anyone there, they'll communicate all of those goals. So realistically, this position is probably going to need to be a salary eventually, as of now, though, it is going to be a stipend, because we're going to see what capacity it's used for. This will handle all of the issues with me having a call in middle, literally, six o'clock on the Wednesday night, trying to handle the jersey purchase the last thing I left the car right now, it's not much job description, but it's part of what you have to do this will handle all of that, that would give Senate to you guys the ability to work on them. So, any questions



ASSOCIATED STUDENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO

about that too? I know I can't answer them right now but I will ask questions. This seems like it's just a goal of my administration. Will future administrations care? Well, they better, because athletics is three to 10% of our student body, between clubs, lacrosse, the Johnson gym and then the actual teams themselves. So I would ask you, then I hope the next president you guys elect is responsible and likes athletics too, because that is a huge portion of our university, and that is our biggest marketing appeal segment. Going off of that. We're gonna go, sorry once I told you guys, tell us a minute, but I'm really excited. So housing, the housing affairs director. I met with the mayor's team, the Mayor Lujan team and I met with the lieutenant governor. The number one issue they recognized was that we wouldn't have housing. So housing, the housing fair division, I met with the mayor, mayor's team, Mayor Albuquerque team. I met with the lieutenant governor, the number one issue. They recognize housing issues and campus safety. So that's something that we want to address. You guys saw this in the debate. You guys hear this all the time. Should we ever really make resolutions? In my opinion, no, we haven't gotten anywhere. All we do is talk about how we're gonna improve it, we never improve it. So it's about time to work like this. I worked with the RHA president. People around campus, they want someone that they can work with to meet APD, wants someone to work with to meet RHA, wants someone to come to the meetings once a month and carry back information to the Senate and to them. So this mission is directly responsible for handling all housing, Campus Safety affairs, because really look at my job title, right? Who's gonna who's that affair gonna have to fall on there, it's gonna fall under my purview, right? We obviously, the President, we're trying to do all these works. This position will directly handle all of that going off too. So I'm trying to find the correct, find it, legislative aide. One more. Okay, yeah, so responsible too for working trying to find, realistically, there's gonna have different housing issues. Do you guys know that most students on campus right now are beyond pissed off that when they put in a maintenance request, it takes two to four weeks to get fixed? Do you realistically think that we're gonna be able to handle it right? It's gonna be hard. The Director of Housing and campus affairs will be responsible for doing that. The City of Albuquerque wants to start partnering one out but 182 will start partnering with ASU and them next year to help make products and do community service, volunteering for their homeless shelters and things they're trying to create. They're also trying to create some incentive programs for students that move off campus to give them a stipend after they've lived in their property for three months. Give them a couple \$1,000 stipends or something. So they get started while they're still college kids. On top of that, too, they want to fight for students to have better snack food benefits, things like that. This position is directly us as ASun, responding to the issue of concerns of the student body That's going to be the same thing it's going to be a static position \$1,000 semester 2000 they're reporting to the Office of the President, but guess what we get these questions or agencies get the issues about it, sending you guys see this the most you guys. issue and concerns of the student body. That's going to be the same thing. It's going to be a stacking position, \$1,000 semester, 2000 reporting to the office of the president. But guess what? We get these questions or agencies get the issues about



it. Senate, you guys see this the most. You guys work with community groups, then you can direct them to the housing campus affairs director. Last position we have here is GA legislative aide. I don't know if you guys saw I went up, met with the governor, lieutenant governor, lieutenant governor, and their one request was being up there more. They said that currently, as of now, NMSU, the way they do it, that's the way they want us to do it. Basically, we go up there, you know, in January, we ask for our proposal. It's an issue. The Lieutenant Governor's office has expressed interest in sending someone down each month to meet with us, to talk about the goals, what the Senate's going on, what they're doing up there, and what the city of Albuquerque is doing. Same thing. The Mayor's Office wants to be with them as well. And lastly, GA legislative a be responsible for kind of being the person up there all the time. The number one concern I get about friction is, of course, how is it that this position has more responsibility than the assistant and Executive Director? Well, that's how it looks. If you've ever talked to the executive and assistant director, they have a lot going on. Remember, they're making the bills, they're making requests. They decide that this person is meant to start maintaining relationships. The whole goal of this is GA right now is a two person operation replacing \$5.2 million a year, right? It cannot be a two person operation. I know we all get involved, but realistically, did you guys go up there for more than one day? I went up there for one day. Most of us went up there for one day. This person is responsible for going up monthly, throughout the year, for meetings, things like that, just checking in with people, bringing them gifts. If you just bring people gifts and leave the center, you know it's like \$1 like \$1. They bring a flower, they fall in love with it. That's what we're going to get the money for right at the end of the day as you know is also a business, this is how we're going to earn that money for the student body. So the difference with this position is gi has expressed direct interest I spoke with the executive and assistant director about. If you just bring people gifts and leave the center, even if it's like \$1, if they bring a flower, they fall in love with us. That's how we're going to get the money, right? This at the end of the day, as you know, is also a business. This is how we're going to earn that money for the student body. So the difference of this position is GA has expressed direct interest. I spoke with the executive and assistant director about the issues they see and they want leaders getting assistance and help. This is that answer to that question. We can work on providing what the terms of the Assistant Executive Director are. To me, that request is based on the fact the law doesn't outline them. I would love to handle that. I can write down all the things that they do. But this position is directly responsible for helping us get more money. Because, as you saw what happened this year, \$50,000 we can get more. We can do more. It'll help having a third person, a third period, someone going up there throughout the year, that position is going to be stipend once again, so all in all \$6,000 We have to find, to me, \$6,000 is worth it for three massive positions that will change our student government. And for us, we'll tell the student body of 16,000 that we're responding to their direct concerns and giving them the answers to those moving forward. Two other things I just want to say, I really support the environmental initiative. It is an issue. It is something students have expressed. I think we need to respond. Don't think



I know we need to respond to this. We work for the students and need to give an answer in what capacity we can work through that, figuring out what the resolution looks like, and things like that. Of course, I want to be a part of this in any way. I want to say that I support that, dear. Last thing here too, is there a bill on the two weeks prior to elections. What was that? 29 Okay, in my opinion, this is my opinion looking at it from a legal standpoint, we're kind of limiting democracy there. That means for two weeks we can't hold people accountable. That means for two weeks we're silencing the students over the Senate from being able to say, I understand, like the issues, obviously, like we've talked about this, like there's a bunch of issues with it. I stand there and I say, How are you guys in response? So for two weeks, say we don't get a hold accountable to somebody doing anything. Yes. Part of that's it thank you guys I'm sorry, passionate.

Kaitlyn UNM Leaf: I'm a freshman studying environmental communications and sustainability. I work closely with the UNM lead and am involved in the Univ sustainability studies program. As a young person involved in sustainability and environmental justice efforts, I feel compelled to speak to Jimmy, speaking about yes to resolution number two, our state is facing the COVID reality brought on by the climate crisis of drought, wildfire, extreme heat and water insecurity. These issues have massive concepts to be discussed or statistics to be presented. They're part of the very real crisis the people of New Mexico, including the students of this institution, are facing. As a public education institution, the University of New Mexico has a responsibility to acknowledge the scientific evidence and acknowledge the climate emergency. How can the students of UNM be educated if they are not first protected and defended? How can we become leaders of change if our own institutions will not even acknowledge the dire reality that we are facing? The defense chief initiative and it is time for the University of New Mexico to more than one of 7000 universities in acknowledging the climate emergency began taking protective measures. I urge you all to vote yes on resolutions of 2s and allow unfettered climate change

Ryan Linquist; Lots of bills to consider, and has heard my concerns, and will address those. I do think they are a couple tweaks that need to be made. Could be a long meeting, but buckle down and get through it.

Jack Barkhurt: Good evening, Senators. My name is Jack Barker, and I'm a freshman here at UNM, studying Environmental Planning and Design. I'm the Director of Media at UNM leaf leaders for Environmental Action and foresight, and I'm here today to urge you to vote yes on resolution 2s the climate emergency resolution to acknowledge the climate and ecological breakdown being observed across our planet and in our own state. As of right now, there are approximately four years, 103 days and 16 hours until our carbon budget will run out. To put this in other words, if we continue putting as much carbon dioxide into the atmosphere as we do today, by the time I'm 22 years old, we will reach a point of no return in global world. Global Warming a permanent reach in the 1.5 degrees Celsius of warming threshold, which



was set by 1000s of climate scientists, if breached, it will set off irreversible chain reactions beyond human control. This is urgent, and 7000 universities across the world have already acknowledged its urgency. The impacts are already being felt here in New Mexico, with extreme heat, drought qualifiers and water insecurity, threatening students health and likelihood. In fact, this weekend, it will be 88 degrees, which is 16 degrees above average for this time of year. The signs of climate emergency are clear. So we are asking us senators, to be leaders in the community, to not only acknowledge the climate crisis, I mean, to not only acknowledge the climate emergency as a symbolic gesture, but rather to pass this distinction as a commitment to transformative change. This commitment will align with the ASUNM value of advocacy, Student Success and Equity. After all, we are we are all the ones who will have to live with the long term impacts of climate change. So time that we acknowledge it, it's now or never. So please vote yes on resolution 2.

Juan Romero: Hi everyone. So once again, I'm Juan Romero. I'm the chief justice organization branch. There's three bills submitted that would directly affect my position on the court, and once again, I'm here to talk about them. So those would be Bill 32 Bill 34 and Bill 35 so Bill 32 is about demerits. So this bill is essentially saying that the Senate should be the ones to issue demerits right now, how it's done is vice president or President pro tempore, who is both or president for temporary purposes is technically part of the Senate. Of course, the vice president is the head of the legislative branch, and that's just the way it should be. I really think that the Senate should have more of a say on who gets the answer. Not that's also kind of a conflict of interest, if you think about it. Because you know, if you're a senator, you talk with the other senators, you're clearly going to say, don't give me the merit vote, no. So this is just something that I think there's a conflict of interest, and I just don't think Senate should have the power that should stay the way it is with the Vice President, President portent board going to bill 34 so Bill 34 is the one that I have the biggest issue with, to be honest, especially because it's the confirmation of the justices, it's for the justices to get sworn in, is already kind of a hassle for me, because during the summer on the second wave is when I have to hire them, get them there for the registration kind of day, get them sworn in, do all that kind of stuff. Now, if it were to be in a way where the Senate has approval, we will not be able to do it. In summary, we would have to wait for senators to be sworn in, and then at that point, do the hiring process. Then have the Senate vote on it, have them approve, have the director approve it, have the person pretend to approve them. By the time all this happens, it's probably more than eight weeks in the semester. I'm gonna have like, four weeks to do a mock trial. I'm gonna have four weeks to, like, prep them. If there's a case, we won't be able to do it unless we have injustices. It just wouldn't be hectic for everybody. It just wouldn't work, to be honest, to Bill 35 so Bill 35S would amend the law, but to allow the Senate to overturn executive orders. Once again, we have checks and balances, right? If the Senate has all this power, then the other two branches would suffer. And quite frankly, you know, that's just not what should work. And you know, you guys are in the Senate, so you guys would be looking at me like,



maybe we should have that power. But I think if you guys really think about it, you understand why, if a president signs executive order that comes to the court and us as a impartial branch we will look at it and if it's unfair we would you know veto it so we wouldn't be, we wouldn't need something to do this, this is more of a for the bills themselves, and not for a second one, and that's all I have

Member of the public, I am here to speak about the water loss that is taking place, that is being seen everywhere. The reason for sandstorms is because there is not enough water for plants to hold down water. As time goes on, we are losing more and more water. For example, at UNM, we are already seeing the loss of water and have to deal with this in a productive and efficient manner. For these reasons, I encourage all senators to vote yes on number 2.

Vice President Jaber: Firstly, I want to thank all of you for coming here today. This is probably the longest SMR meeting of the semester, if not the cycle that doesn't get more bills, which heads up I plan on submitting a few. So good luck to this panel. Two stretchers and firstly, I just want to amplify some of the previous public speakers who are not involved with ASun and are speaking from a different lens of campus. I think it's super important that we consider the non-ASNM perspective, especially on resolutions that we are passing that impact the entirety of the undergraduate student body. So uplifting the voices of students who actively work in climate justice is something that it feels very important to consider and resolve to. I do have a few comments regarding a lot of the business on this evening's agenda. So for starters, I want to talk a little bit about the demerit process. So, as it currently stands with the Vice President, President Pro Tem, or, or two thirds of the two-thirds of the Senate can issue a demerit. So for starters, I want to talk a little bit about the demerit process. So as it currently stands, the Vice President, President Putin for or two thirds of the two thirds of the Senate can issue demerit so every single one has the power to do so, and the checks and balances with that is that's currently how it is. That is currently as it stands in the ASN number one, I'll actually read it later in Section 30, 3501 disciplinary action demerits may be issued by the Vice President. The President reports in four or two thirds majority of the Senate. That's how it stands currently. I don't think that the Senate should have to approve every single demerit that the President put on board and vice president issued to senators. That's why checks and balances with issuing demerits happen where senators can take their demerits to the student court if they feel like they were issued unfairly. I feel like that process has worked for us, and it's created a good two step process if senators are going to approve or deny a demerit that was issued against them. It's just kind of an impossible process so I think that there should be some deep consideration to talk a little bit about the bill 29s, which is. I think that there should be some deep consideration to talk a little bit about the bill 20 9s which are articles of impeachment, and may not be introduced the week prior or the week of any election. So firstly, I think that the idea of this bill is great. As somebody who has had experience with having one of the first impeachment resolutions that we've seen in years during the election that you are



actively participating in, it is extremely difficult. But I also think the forefront of the Student Government is holding your leaders accountable. So I would suggest reformatting this bill to maybe adding a clause to allow grace to where students could still hold an impeachment resolution after the election, even if it doesn't meet the statute of limitation, just because I think that's a time where, where, yes, people should feel, you know, to have their full focus on the election. And as someone who experienced it definitely sucks. But I also think taking that power away from senators and students to hold their leaders accountable is something that I'm not for. So finding a balance that allows for maybe the grace period during the election, but excusing the statute of limitations for people who may want to submit an impeachment resolution after the election, just kind of finding a middle ground between it. But I think it's a great start to an idea, and then I want to talk a little bit about bills that I'm in favor of. So I am in favor of resolution two. I think that the authors will have a lot to talk about, and I think you should really take into consideration all the things they are saying. I also am in favor of creating the position of Director of Athletic affairs under the Office of the President. This is something that I spoke with, alongside the other authors, and I think it's something that will help amplify that position in asunm, and also amplify parts of athletics that aren't necessarily seen on campus. We constantly are seeing basketball and football, I would like to see asunm represent some of the other athletic departments that aren't necessarily always given the forefront of space. And I also kind of think that position will help amplify that student athletes are students. And also just humanizing the fact that they have midterms, just because they have a game to go to doesn't mean that they're not students. So kind of just humanizing our athletics department will create a really great balance between student government and there, and then also creating the position for Director of Hussain and campus affairs. I also am in heavy support with this position. I think that these positions are really well thought out, and they're not just slacked on to a piece of legislation. I think it's very intimidating to see a new position be created, and it may be seeming that, you know, some of the authors on this bill were newly elected, and you know, maybe they're just fresh out of their minds, but I can assure you that there has been a lot of thought that has been put into these bills and these positions, and I truthfully believe they won't fall into cracks. So I really support those. I'm trying to see if there's, I know there's one more thing that I wanted to talk about, but I fully missed it out. Oh, that's what it was, the changing the requirements for the candidate of the President, which is changing the hours for President. I think this is a discussion that we've had a lot throughout the semester, and I think it's a fair process. But I also want to amplify and recognize that these are written out in the Constitution, including the demerit process. And I also think the impeachment process is also written out in the constitution. So all of those bills, although, you know, I'm not really, I don't really have hard opinions on them, because they are constitutional law changing them in the law book would just mean that they are void until the next election, where they can be put through as a constitutional amendment. So keep that in mind when you're voting on these pieces of legislation, just because, again, if the Constitution contradicts the law book, The Constitution triumphs, because it's kind of the holy grail of things we



follow, and the law book is kind of also the Holy Grail. That was just a little bit more up there. So yeah, again, this is going to be a super long meeting. I don't want to take any more of your time, but please, please, please, take into consideration everybody's thoughts on these bills. Don't just pass or fail. Use your voice. Try to tweak them if you feel like some things can be changed, some things can be tweaked. And then it'll be possible, consider that when you're doing these things. And finally, like we're entering our last cycle after this so I'm really proud of the students in our community, they'll have really shown some true leadership and legislation this year I think this is really one of the highest.

Director of Environmental Protection; Feleicia: This resolution is to invest into academics, research and operations. And these goals are really great. We really support these goals, but we want to push them further. They have to be matched with institutional urgency, with student inclusion and with really transparent implementation. So this resolution reaffirms our support for these goals, while also calling for immediate and Home Free caption and it urges UNM to endorse climate emergency, which would join institutions across the nation and even across the world, and hopefully would help them accelerate their development of the UNM sustainability strategic plan. It's also supporting the formation of the UNM Sustainability Council to oversee progress, keep the community informed and to ensure that student voices aren't just heard, but that they're actively involved in shaping a just and sustainable future at UNM as the flagship institution in other state UNM has. It's also supporting the formation of the UNM Sustainability Council to oversee progress, keep the community informed and to ensure that student voices aren't just heard, but that they're actively involved in shaping a just and sustainable future at UNM as the flagship institution of our state, UNM has a unique opportunity and really an academic responsibility to lead and as as UNM, our role is to reflect the voice and the values of undergraduate student body. And so this resolution is that voice calm for both transparent and equitable Climate Action Now, other than later. And so I respectfully urge you guys to support this resolution, and in doing so, stand with other students across the campus who are organizing, mobilizing and building, and building towards a healthier and more just

AG Barragan: Attorney General, Senate, this is probably the most bills that I've ever seen in this Senate cycle. For SNR. With that in mind, I do have notes about the grammar, constitutional rights and confusion regarding these bills. A lot of these questions will probably be answered within authorship, speeches, debates and a lot of research on so here are my notes that I should keep in mind when discussing these bills and part of me, but I do have a little bit. So the first one that really resolution. I have a notice for that. Look for between and I encourage you to be possible before you talk about it when discussing it. Bill 21s is a new section in the law. There



needs to be definition to the law to make this make sense. If you understand what I'm talking about regarding appropriateness clothing and something like that. So if you're gonna make this happen, conditions like definitions needs to be a better word for dress code exactly interpreted like it's been pulled in public comment that can be interpreted differently. Also, I want to give you a thought, Should this be in standing rules, or should this be in the law book? Because standing rules change every single semester. You can edit them every semester, and how we enforce it is a demerit procedure. Also 24S was already discussed and just needs to run back. 27 was failed, but I dont know what was changed, so you all should go over that. 29S takes away the students' power to do something about what is happening.

C. Old Business

D. New Business

Resolution 2 - ASUNM Climate Emergency Resolution.

Motion to open

- seconded and passed
 - Authorship speech: Sen. Ratcliff: I'm not going to add anything to the author's speech. I think our four people who touched on it did an incredible job, and I don't think I need to say much more. I do encourage
- Discussion:
 - Sen. Ratcliff: Senator, I'm in highly favor of the bill. Again, great authorship. Speeches, very touching. And I also think that this is as a government, a lot of what we do as a student government only applies to our student government. So if I write a bill, any of the bills that I've written are only affecting our own student government internally. and I think this is an opportunity for our student government, to touch, actual social and political issues, and for us to have a say and for us to stand up and actually do something. So, in absolute support with the bill
 - Sen. Garcia: really in support of the bill and reach many students
- Passed; 4-0-0-0

Bill 21S - Outline the procedural method that the Senate is to function in.

- Motion to open
 - seconded and passed
 - Authorship speech: Sen. Casaus: o this bill, as you saw in Tennessee, solidify the process that has already been taken in Senate with a few additions and clarifications. So this is a new article section in Section 800 of the law book section, if you have the bill open, Section 8401, outlines the fact that we should conduct ourselves in parsley future procedure, which is something that we already do section 8402, changes the way that we approve the agenda and minutes from a voice vote to a



general consensus. So this is something that you can do in parley Pro to be more efficient. It's used for stuff that is likely to pass anyways. And so the way that you do this is, sorry, the way that you do this is, you ask, is there no? The chair says there's no. There's been no corrections of the minutes. And y'all can look at the part of the Roberts Rules both for my better clarification, but essentially, the chair would be able to ask for consensus rather than doing a roll call vote, so it makes things smoother. And then if you look at the visa votes or voice vote remains the same, the vote roll call vote essentially remains the same. There are two additions senators may pass, and the individual taking the will return to them at the end of roll this is to allow senators have extra time in case they feel unsure about which way to vote. This is something also done in parliamentary procedure. They may, I'm sorry, they also may announce, immediately after they say I or nay, that they wish to explain their vote. This is to allow them to briefly share with the Senate and public why they felt it was correct to vote the way that they did. This is something that is done relative to procedure. Proxy was written in the same section, 8403, outlines the Senate dress code. So this is that we, as a body care professional, to the public, and I understand that this could be added to the standing rule semester to semester, but then this leaves the opportunity for inconsistency. And I think it's really important that we, as a committee, I'm sorry, as a Senate, present ourselves well. I also understand that it may bring up questions of equitability. Maybe people don't have business casual clothing, or they can afford it. And so I think that I intentionally left the bill very vague to allow for people to interpret it and to dress the way that they want to dress. Nobody shows up in jeans as it is right now, I think jeans would be the only part of that dress code that's maybe a little bit more difficult for people financially this bill was inspired, by the time that I spent our New Mexico State legislator, this is all stuff that they have, they do, they.

- Sen. Hedrick: The only part that is bolded is the dress code part, Is everything new?
 - Sen. Casaus: The entire bill is new.
- Sen. Ratcliff: So does the bill align with what is said in Robert's Rules
 - Sen. Casaus: It may
 - AG Barraga: Yeah, this is my concern. I think we need to review that aspect
- Sen. Yanglasletti: Did you know if you have two section 8302's
 - Yes it will get fixed
- Discussion:



- Sen. Hedrick: I like the allowance of this except sections 8303, and 83002, section C subsections C and D.
- Sen. Ratcliff: If they like show up in jeans for a day. However, I think that's something that we're able to do in our government without putting it in our lawbook. It might be a little too far. If, you know, our vice president right now wanted to be like, if you wanted to push, let's get like a better address to it going, that would be like an easy conversation that you could have like individually with people that I don't think it would necessarily be needed to be in a lawbook. I completely agree with Roberts rules things, however, I think the simpler way of writing it would be that our parliamentary procedure just follows the latest version of Robert's rules. So if what? I would already says that.. It's just in the . I think that should be addressed, though. If we were wanting to update that, because what if that part of Robert Rules change now our senate is doing like an updated version of Robert Rules? So I guess, let me clarification to attorney general like what that may look like currently, but yeah.
- Sen. Romero: they like show up in jeans for a day. However, I think that's something that we're able to do in our government without putting it in our lawbook. It might be a little too far. If, you know, our vice president right now wanted to be like, if you wanted to push, let's get like a better address to it going, that would be like an easy conversation that you could have like individually with people that I don't think it would necessarily be needed to be in a lawbook. I completely agree with Roberts rules things, however, I think the simpler way of writing it would be that our parliamentary procedure just follows the latest version of Robert's rules. So if what? I would already says that.. It's just in the . I think that should be addressed, though. If we were wanting to update that, because what if that part of Robert Rules change now our senate is doing like an updated version of Robert Rules? So I guess, let me clarification to attorney general like what that may look like currently, but yeah.
- Failed; 0-0-0-4
-

[Bill 22S](#) - Outlining the appropriate disciplinary actions to ensure Vice Presidential accountability.

- Motion to open
 - seconded and passed
- Authorship Speech: Sen Casaus: This bill adds extra steps to ensure ther are checks and balances and that the vice president is given an opportunity to correct in the mistakes as the senators do. So this bill is in addition to section 35000 of the lawbook. That originally addresses Senator accountability. If you have it open, Section 3506 is where the vice Presidential accountability begins, nothing would change about the Senate accountability above. You will notice that the appeals portion is being removeved, but this is only so



that the Sen and vice presidential appeal processes can be added together at the end of the discussion. The new vice President accountability portion follows the same guidelines as the Senate process , with the following changes. In Section 506, rather than the president recording which he's his or her own demerits, approach will change their demerits. President approach with the st may issue demerit rather than president and the pro tem 4. In sections 507, there will be a merit issue in the vice president announced to respond in a timely matter to President or members of the S. compared to accountability where closer. In Section 508, the word remains exactly the same. In Section 509, R does the vice president in for issue approach four and the steering will shares. The president approach four will deliver demerits to the vice President. There will be a new item added to the full Senate agenda bringing vice Presidential accountability, and the loss of privileges will be announced by the President Project or rather than the vice Pres . In Section 510, the vice President is be held to a higher standard. The demerit will approve from semesters to semester compared to senators in which they do not carry over semester to semester. After two demands, the vice president will lose their chair for one meeting , and the President president four will be The Vice President has only three demerits before it's considerable for the digits of office compared to the Senate, which has full. The Senate has 10 days to Senate a resolution for a to the vice, rather than the three days that the Vice President has to file over written charge for senators of the directive Office. Finally, in Section 511, the appeal process remains exactly the same. It simply has worrying to include the new vice president accountability section. Some concerns have been raised and I want to acknowledge directly. One is up there is currently no formal, accountability process for the president. which is a valid point, and one that I believe deserves its own discussion of potential legislation, in the future This bill adds extra steps to ensure there are checks and balances and that the vice president is given an opportunity to correct in the mistakes as the senators do. So this bill is in addition to section 5000 of the lawbook. That originally addresses Senator accountability. If you have it open, Section 506 is where the vice Presidential accountability begins, nothing would change about the Senate accountability above. You will notice that the appeals portion is being removed, but this is only so that the Sen and vice presidential appeal processes can be added together at the end of the discussion. The new vice President accountability portion follows the same guidelines as the Senate process , with the following changes. In Section 506, rather than the president recording which he's his or her own demerits, approach will change their demerits. President approach with the st may issue demerit rather than president and the pro tem 4. In sections 507, there will be a merit issue in the vice president announced to respond in a timely matter to President or members of the S. compared to accountability where closer. In Section 508, the word remains exactly the same. In Section 509, R does the vice president in for issue approach four and the steering will shares. The president approach four will deliver demerits to the vice President. There will be a new item added to the full Senate agenda bringing vice Presidential accountability, and the loss of privileges will be announced by the President Project or rather than the vice Pres . In Section 510, the vice President is be held to a higher standard. The demerit will approve from semesters to semester compared to senators in which they do not carry over



semester to semester. After two demands, the vice president will lose their chair for one meeting, and the President president four will be The Vice President has only three demerits before it's considerable for the digits of office compared to the Senate, which has full. The Senate has 10 days to Senate a resolution for a to the viceen, rather than the three days that the Vice President has to file over written charge for senators eful the directive Office. Finally, in Section 3511, the appeal process remains exactly the same. It simply has worrying to include the new vice president accountability section. Some concerns have been raised with Ariville and I want to acknowledge directly. One is up there is currently no formal, accountability process for the president. which is a valid point, and one that I believe deserves its own discussion of potential legislation, in the futurE however, this bill is focused specifically on addressing the current gap inability for the president.signing their process more closely without other senators, well considering their element responsibilities. I personally don't have enough knowledge about how the president conducts their business being that he's not part of the legislative body. So I didn't feel comfortable doing and writing that research. Writing that bill without doing a perverse research. So that is why you only have a lot of the accountability bill today. The second concern is that the vice president is being called to a higher standard than senators. This is true and intentional. The vice president plays a unique powerful role in our state government. They facilitate Li, they guide legislation, they support the entire Senate bodies with this higher level of possibility comes in need for greater accountability, I believe. That said, this bill still preserves fairness by offering the device, serifications, a structured process, via to appeal and opp community and just as our senators have

- VP Jaber: Okay, so just let our auction is typically taken through the court, so you file for disciplinary action against the vice president for means under, like that aren't impeachable offenses, which like an impeachable off is an egregious gross misconduct, neglect of office, and all of those things, but things that fall under ime, that Vice President May have missed a deadline or missed the time or just hasn't been very present, you can take that to Stephen court and argue for the student court to give a a disciplinary action, and I think there's actually a clause in the lawbook somewhere that talks a little bit about an example of disciplinary action, which is just basically like taking away, like very similarly brand into this bill, taking away the charing power for a Senate meeting. And if y'all feel, I really furtherification legislation that you ran on how that process works. But as ASU&M has been governing for a long time like this.
- Sen. Hedrick: Do you think with student court and current senate processes we really need this?
 - Sen. Casaus: I think we do in extreme situations.
- Discussion: Sen. Romero: I would say that we do have very similar bills. I think my bills a little bit more concise than fits up a lot of just a little bit better. I think mine's also a little more lenient, which I think you know, what it comes to disciplining the vice president, I think we also have keep in mind, like, it affects the whole Senate whenever we do it. And so I think that, you know, just for example, in 31S the first America has no consequences. And I think you know, I think that's better for, you know, having a more, because I understand like the equitable approach to whatnot, I just think that 31S is a little bit more of a concise approach to this, but I would be in favor of having



both bills out of committee and go to the Senate and see what they have to say. I don't think we have to choose one right now because there are some differences that we need other people's opinions on outside of this committee

- Sen. Ratcliff: I encourage discussion between both of you to work together to combine these bills for several reasons. I think they're both two pretty long bills, and if we're being honest, that's asking unfortunately a lot for some senators on full committee to read through all of those. And I think it would turn into unequitable voting. I don't think the voting in Full Senate would be based off of like, Bruce's Bill, do they agree with more? I just, I think if both pus to Full Senate, I don't think that's how voting would turn out . So I encourage discussion on that.
- Sen. Hedrick: I believe in what the previous speaker said and agree that we should combine these.
- Sen. Romero: I do not agree with that. I think that if we go about that approach, we're just not going to have any films, because what's going to happen is we're going to reintroduce this next time and someone's going to have an issue with it. It's about good us. I think it' the end of the day, you know, our Senate is capable of coming to a conclusion from a simple perspective of an academic perspective, which builds better, I don't think it's a personal thing. And I think it should be up to the senators to decide that, because I can guarantee you that if we fail these bills and we come back next week, somebody will have an issue with it, and then we'll have another bill. And we're running out of time to do that. We already have two bills. I think we should let the full Senate decendle this issue. So once again, I just want to say that I will be loading in favor of this bill,
- Sen. Ratcliff: I think encouraging discussion amongst both authors would prohibit the bill from failing

Failed; 0-3-1-0

[Bill 23S](#) - Highlights the duties of DOC.

- motion to open
 - seconded and passed
- Authorship Speech: This is when is we had our director of Communications come to speak on this bill. ' Right now, she's in charge of like primarily marketing for GA during legislative season. Yes, it only happens four years, but she had the duties of like three different people this year and she was not able to move people around. And so this bill is giving her a little bit more leeway on what she's able to do with that . And the judicial branch currently does have their own marketing director, and that's not in the Lobb anywhere. That's just how a marketing assistants are placed currently . So this is adding, we're not really adding more leeway. We're just guaranteeing that the student court is not losing a marketing assistant.

Passed; 3-0-1-0

[Bill 24S](#) - Updating the process of electing an interim President Pro Tempore, and the line of succession in the Legislative Branch.

- motion to open
 - seconded and passed
- Authorship Speech: Instead of having to have the current SNR chair go, the vice president can select a Senator that is able to fulfill the duties of the President appro board for just a week and a half max. In the meantime, to just maintain a proper function of ASU&M in the type that that happensbits, and



then in the event that is standing committee chair steps down or is removed from office, the respective advice, chair will assume the role of the chair. If the vice chair has not been elected, one of the vice chair will should the Super Bowl, the Vice President shall assume the new chair. I think this is a really fair process as well. Because the current vice chair may not want to chair the meaning, may not have the time to chair, starting the rolls. So giving the power back to the vice president to be able to appoint a new chair will just straighten the process. So the whole idea of this bill is to streamline ASU and M and give us an extra backfall because. To attempt through the executive line of success is just something that was proven to not work last semester, to something needed to be changed.

- Discussion; Sen. Ratcliff: I am in support of this bill!

Passed; 4-0-0-0

Bill 25S - Creating the position of Director of Athletic Affairs under the Office of the President.

- motion to open
 - seconded and passed
- Authorship speech: These are the opinions and goals works at the clubs sports teams, ASUNM funds, helping them with budget requests, things like that, mis responsible for running coordinating the new student government day at the local games and it's a stipend and refunded through bounce forward for our discretionary funds that will be,000 a year, \$1,000 a semester . That's all yield.
- Discussion: Sen. Ratcliff: I am very much so in favor in this bill, because it brings representation and recognition.
- Sen. Romero: What about finance chair comment?
- VP Tazz: Technically, you can't add a definition to something that is in the love them. So I think I think, as an author on this bill, we probably should have submitted another bill with that in the definition code added in coalescence with this bill . And if you guys feel as though that's a process, you can precedence, you want to set for this in our committee, this can be resubmitted with that bill, if that's what's really needed. But I really do think that you could pass this and then pass a definitions code bill in the next cycle
- Sen. Ratcliff: It has its own definition due to precedent

Passed; 4-0-0-0

Bill 26S - **Creating the position of Legislative Aide under the Governmental Affairs Agency.**

Motion to open

- Seconded and passed
 - VP Jaber: Speaking for the author of the bill: This is a way to amplify the GA department. This will helps us in the long run, aiding us in having a successful government.
 - Discussion: Sen. Ratcliff: A step in the right direction, advocates in the right places, gets more money for us.
 - Sen. Romero: Discussion on trip to santa fe will be full paid for in addition to the stipend?
 - VP Jaber: It will be satisfied by balance forwards etc.
- Passed 4-0-0-0

Bill 27S - **Creating the position of Director of Housing and Campus Affairs.**

- motion to open
 - seconded and passed



- Authorship speech: Simply put, similar to before, putting the right people into the right places
- Passed: 4-0-0-0

Bill 28S - Amends the lawbook to require The President to inform The Senate of executive orders.

- Motion to open
 - seconded and opened
- Authorship speech: I worked this bill was defeated, but I worked with Presidentajki to find something that we agree with, and we worked together and I worked with Senators too, and this is the conclusion that he came to, and I didn't hear any dissent from anybody after sending this out, so , yeah, I would encourage discussion, but I do think this is a this is a more equitable way than previously the bill that we have brought up to. Just make sure that the president is inform of the Senate of executive orders and a reasonable manner and to be his transcriarent as possible.
- Sen. Ratcliff: Encourage discussion on subsection B, addressed the senate oddly
- Sen. Romero: Dont see the issue, president agreed with it, thats why it is there. From the three days perspective, if it was missed accidentally. Will they face consequences if something happens. If it is an isse I would like to strike it.
 - Motion to strike subsection B in section C
 - seconded and passed

Pased; 4-0-0-0

Bill 29S - Articles of Impeachment may not be introduced the week prior to, or the week of, any ASUNM elections.

motion to open

- seconded and passed
- Authorship Speech: I introduced this bill because I felt as though the last impeachment efforts was essentially election aff, and I sought to bring this bill forward to try to prevent that, and I knew it would be controversial, but frankly, I couldn't really find any other way to basically try to prevent what happened before from happening again. But after the vice president spoke on this bill, you know, I think I just want to acknowledge that even though after what happened him, he's still, you know, provided a very neutral opinion and provided a perspective that is for the betterment of the student government and not just personal affairs. So I really want to, you know, frankly, just say thank you for that, that was great. And, you know, I encouraged discussion, I still think it's a good idea. If we don't think it's a good idea, then that's okay with me. This was very much a , you know, a teetering bill on the edge of a lot of different things.
- Sen. Ratcliff: I think it is a little too specific in a sense, and I think yeah.
- failed; 2-3-0-0

Bill 30S - Changing who can issue demerits.

- motion to open
 - seconded and passed
- Authroship Speech: So, this go is basically meant to democratize the process of the merits, and this was also edited just before, there was even more changes that were made, but I decided to edit it to try and alleviate some people's



concerns. So basically, um this bill, you just need a simple majority for a DiMera. And the reason I did that is because I think that if don't the vice president of president pro 4., we have bad actors in those positions, then demerits could easily be issued to the neglectfully inil manner, and to basically address what some other centaters have said about setting it to a student court. As I've addressed in previous meetings, we have to look for bad actors in the future at if they justices are biased to the vice president, prototin war, then your appeal will hold no weight. And so this is not about now. This is not saying that this happen now. This is not saying our course are not neutral right now.

- Sen Ratcliff: Um So the primary job of the student of court is to remain unbiased. Like that, if they have no other job in our student government, that is their sole job . We've heard it for my chief, Justice currently, of his standings of this. I think this also goes off, checks and balances of our government. I think it gives Senate like more power to overturn the demerits, what if executive is so on the executive branch thought a Dimerit was unlawful, what if someone on the judicial branch thought it was unlawful, they now have no power to do that. It's only the Senate. Who has the power to do that. So. I think it those off checks and balances, I think it's unneeded just because the student court, their sole job is to remain unbiased. So, yeah, those are my concerns of it. What that I feel. Thank you quick and the discussions on Bill 30S.
- Sen. Romero: i think this could happen, and if a demerit is warrant, there should be no question.

Failed 1-3-0-0

motion to recess till 7:56

Back at 7:58

[Bill 31S](#) - Creating a demerit process for the Vice President.

motion to open

- Seconded and passed
- Authorship speech: Vp accountability, if we pass this one, it would be better, if we dont we wont have an bill. We need something to come out of this session.
- Sen. Ratcliff: I like this one, conciseness is good, and I like both of these bills.

passed; 2-1-0-1

[Bill 32S](#) - Changing the process of issuing demerits to Senator

motion to open

- seconded and passed
- Author's speech: I received pushback, but it democratizes the process of giving demerits, this caudifies what is already in the lawbook.
- Sen. Ratcliff: This could lead to bias, if the vp and protemp have to vote on it, either will get a demerit. I like the mailbox thing, if not, I agree with that part.

Failed; 1-2-0-1



[Bill 33S](#) - Changing the requirements to be a candidate for President.

motion to open

- seconded and passed
 - Authorship speech: So basically , we came to a compromise, and I would like to thank you Garcia for working with me on this bill, where basically, we alleviated concerns by leading it at serving credit hours , but branch students and online students only have to have 12 to 15 for making, because we have a lot of individuals that go to use on main campus previously have Donald to a ranch campus or online students. And we think that while they should have aake in the in person main campus students, we also think it's unclear to not count what they've done as a support UUM, to be eligible to be president. Because also, there's a lot of individuals here who take online classes, including myself, and that could potentially create situations where individuals that have been here for the semesters are still not eligible to work because they took online courses, which I don't think that students should be penalized for taking a unit course just because it happens to be at Ta or online. And I think it really is discrimination against our other fellow students at this university , and even though they should be important campus, they should have a stake in it before they run. This just makes it a little easier for them. It helps for those that, especially those that might have gone to, because you can get it from the branch campuses.
 - Sen. Ratcliff: Would it cover AP credits? little concerning and may need to be addressed.
 - AG Barragan: It is very broad, and is concerning due to that. Especially if you are coming in with more credit hours. Need to be worked on.
 - Sen Yanglasletti: I remember we talked about AP courses since last time we talked, and I dont see that on there.
 - Sen. Romero: Thank you, chair, I appreciate the feedback, it was sent out, only collaboration was with Garcia.
 - Sen. Ratcliff: POI - I offered feedback and emailed it
 - Sen. Romero: I think that people should contribute to further collaboration
- failed; 1-2-0-1

[Bill 34S](#) - Changing the confirmation process of justice.

- Motion to open
 - seconded and passed
- Authorship speech: Requiring a $\frac{2}{3}$ vote of the senate. I spoke about it with the AJ, but it shouldn't be an issue, but I see it as an issue. I still think the senate should be involved, but if it does affect them that much, this one may need to be reconsidered.
- Sen. Ratcliff: I respect the author for taking accountability.
- Failed; 0-2-1-0

[Bill 35S](#) - Amends the lawbook to allow the Senate to overturn executive orders.

seconded and passed

- Authorship speech: Just a backup in case something happens and we have a rouge



president. Hard to get a $\frac{2}{3}$ votes.

- Sen. Ratcliff: What is your def of cheks and balances
- Sen. Romero: When each branch has a check and can counter the other.
- Sen. Ratcliff: I think this disrupts checks and balances; reiterating my concerns
- Sen. Romero: I dont agree, I dont think there are any issues, if the senate thinks it is bad, its bad, if court thinks it is bad, its bad.

failed; 1-2-0-1

[Bill 36S](#) - Amends the elections notice section.

motion to open

- seconded and passed
- Authorhsip speech: Amends elections notices section, 5 instead of 1, reasonable efforts to post it, specify academic days, i think this makes it more transparent. One ad is silly, and goes along with previous statements about a lack of inclusivity.
- Discussion: Sen Ratcliff: I think one of the concerns is to bill equitable access. I think that if that is the intent, it needs to stay at one and note 5. Putting up 5 papers may be like people don't have 5 fliers or not.
- Sen. Romero: Dont quite understand what was said previously, i respect the view, I dont think it is that much more effort. Getting more people interested.
- Sen. Ratcliff: I agree i think getting more people is good, but this isnt the way to do it.

Passed 2-1-0-1

a. Members of the Committee

- Sen. Romero: Thank you everyone, have a good night

b. Vice Chair

- Good meeting, long one, this is a privilege, glad we could pass a lot of them. I am always open to collaboration and I hope that is heard. No personal vandettas from me when i am in ASUNM that is strictly professional. Good meeting, special shoutout to Sen. Romero.

c. Chair

- Thank you gusy for being here, there is a lot. Each senator requires two pieces of legislation, otherwise, the next cycle is due by 4pm next Friday. This Comittee should stay as cordial as possible, I understand the passion, but this is not the place to bicker, thank you for your work, lets keep it professional.

E. Adjournment

a. Adjourned at 8:33PM



FINANCE AGENDA

Wednesday, April 9, 2025

6:00 PM

Student Union Building Fiesta A/B

A. Opening

a. Call To Order

The chair calls this meeting to order at 6:00 PM 1/22/25

4 Senators Present || 1 Absent

b. Land Acknowledgement

Founded in 1889, the University of New Mexico sits on the traditional homelands of the Pueblo of Sandia. The original peoples of New Mexico – Pueblo, Navajo, and Apache – since time immemorial, have deep connections to the land and have made significant contributions to the broader community statewide. We honor the land itself and those who remain stewards of this land throughout the generations and also acknowledge our committed relationship to Indigenous peoples. We gratefully recognize our history.

c. Roll Call

4 Senators Present || 1 Absent

d. Approval of Agenda

Seconded and Passed (4,0,0,1)

e. Approval of Minutes

Seconded and Passed (4,0,0,1)

B. Preliminary Business

a. Chair

None

b. Members of the Committee

None

c. Public Comment

None



C. Old Business
None

D. New Business

Chair entertains a motion to open APP #37S - *Seconded and Passed (4,0,0,1)*

a. Appropriation #37S - Powerful Movement of Educated Sister

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Printing Supplies (Ink, construction paper, copy paper, colored paper, toner) \$75
Office Supplies (Pens, markers, rulers, binders, desk organizers, rubber bands, USB drive, hole puncher, stapler, tape, scissors) \$75
Cash Box and counterfeit money pen
Altar Decorations (table top, Tea Light Candles, Fake flowers, Picture frames, tablecloth, etc
Clothing racks
Painting supplies, canvases, paint, stencils, hot glue gun, etc.
Board Games
Large storage containers
Ring Light
Cleaning supplies ex. clorox, vacuum

DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONING

SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 8 to read 53\$
SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 22 to read 70\$
SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 29 to read 140\$
SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 36 to read 91\$
SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 43 to read 140\$
SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 50 to read 35\$
SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 57 to read 140\$
SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 64 to read 140\$
SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 72 to read 105\$

DP2

SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 8 to read 140\$

All in favor and passed

Appropriation 37S Roll CALL VOTE

Appropriation 37S passes with a (4,0,0,1)

Seconded and passed (AT AN AMOUNT OF 1030\$)

Said organization will be able to attend and speak during the next full senate in the PUBLIC COMMENTS section.

Chair entertains a motion to open APP #38S - *Seconded and Passed (4,0,0,1)*

b. Appropriation #38 - National Pan-Hellenic Council

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

During NPHC Week, the council plans on hosting a Homeowner Workshop on April 30th. We want to have food at the event to get people to attend our event and will have special guests attend our event. Based on catering menus, we will be working with them to work within a budget of \$450. Also, we are looking to host an event on Johnson Field and would like to provide water and gatorade so participants stay hydrated that



will cost about \$100 .

During NPHC Week, for the Homeowner Workshop, we will be having 4 guest speakers and want to provide an honorarium at \$100 each for the speakers that are assisting us with the workshop which will cost a total amount of \$400.

During NPHC Week, we will be hosting the Dine with the Nine event in celebration of the council charter. This event will occur on May 3rd and this line item will be used to print the programs needed for the event through the copy center. They would cost \$.50 for half sheet and would like to print 150 programs which will total to \$75

During NPHC Week , on April 28th, the council would like to host a craft night for our council and other Greek councils can attend in kicking off our Council Charter Week.

We want to purchase more brochures for summer recruitment of the council. These will be used for NSO tabling that our council will be hosting throughout the summer.

NPHC will be hosting a student org tabling event called Hump Day. We will requesting 25 tables that are \$6.50 each which will total to \$162.50 and 100 chairs from facilities management at \$.75 each which will be a total of \$75

DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONING

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: We have a 250 cap on food for three events or 800 for one event as per our standing rules.

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 15 to read 0\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 22 to read 100\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 29 to read 75\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 36 to read 280\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 48 to read 100\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 50 to read 161\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 57 to read 167\$

The chair has made an ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGE TO 3L10 - Administrative Supplies

All in favor and passed

Appropriation 38S Roll CALL VOTE

Appropriation 38S passes with a (4,0,0,1)

Seconded and passed (AT AN AMOUNT OF 1,591\$)

Said organization will be able to attend and speak during the next full senate in the PUBLIC COMMENTS section.

Chair entertains a motion to open APP #39S - *Seconded and Passed (4,0,0,1)*

c. Appropriation #39S - Something Major A Cappella

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

We would like to provide refreshments for our audience and members during and after the performance. We would like to provide fruit trays at the gala.

We would like to provide refreshments for our audience and members during and after the performance. We would like to provide chips and dip platters at the gala.

We would like to provide refreshments for our audience and members during and after the performance. We would like to provide pin wheel platters at the gala.

DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONING

SEN DOYLE: Motion to have line item 8 to read 750\$

Friendly amendment to read 800\$ proposed by SEN MARTINEZ MEYER



SEN DOYLE: Motion to have line item 8 to read 800\$

SEN DOYLE: Motion to have line item 15 to read 0\$

SEN DOYLE: Motion to have line item 22 to read 0\$

All in favor and passed

Appropriation 39S Roll CALL VOTE

Appropriation 39S passes with a (4,0,0,1)

Seconded and passed **(AT AN AMOUNT OF 804\$)**

Said organization will be able to attend and speak during the next full senate in the PUBLIC COMMENTS section.

Chair entertains a motion to open APP #40S - **Seconded and Passed (4,0,0,1)**

d. Appropriation #40S - UNM Trumpet Guild

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Guest Artist Fees: Mary Bowden

Hotel: 4 nights total

Piano Collaboration Fees:

Travel Fees

DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONING

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: We have a cap of 100\$ for professional services.

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 8 to read 1000\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 15 to read 0\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 22 to read 0\$

SEN MARTINEZ MEYER: Motion to have line item 29 to read 0\$

All in favor and passed

Appropriation 40S Roll CALL VOTE

Appropriation 40S passes with a (4,0,0,1)

Seconded and passed **(AT AN AMOUNT OF 1005\$)**

Said organization will be able to attend and speak during the next full senate in the PUBLIC COMMENTS section.

Chair entertains a motion to open APP #41S - **Seconded and Passed (4,0,0,1)**

e. Appropriation #41S - Filipino Student Organization

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

7 Full Trays of Filipino Food (\$110 each) from our vendor and a 25% tip

Total request : 963\$

DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONING

SEN MELLIN: Motion to have line item 8 to read 800\$

All in favor and passed

Appropriation 41S Roll CALL VOTE

Appropriation 41S passes with a (4,0,0,1)

Seconded and passed **(AT AN AMOUNT OF 804\$)**

Said organization will be able to attend and speak during the next full senate in the



PUBLIC COMMENTS section.

Chair entertains a motion to open APP #42S - *Seconded and Passed (4,0,0,1)*

f. Appropriation #42S - Association of Latino Professionals for America

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Per Diem for travelling August 26th-August 1st, 2025. The first and last day of convention are full days typically, thus traveling the day before and leaving the day after. This would include food and lodging costs. We have hotel quotes listed as a reference for estimated cost.

Ground Transportation for travel during the convention. Usage for cost of ubers, Las Vegas Monorail, etc. during the event to get to and from.

Flights to and from Vegas for 10 students travelling July 26th-Aug 1st. See travel quotes on "Quotes" Page of excel sheet. All quotes listed at similar costs.

DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONING

SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 8 to read 2100\$

SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 15 to read 245\$

SEN MCCLOUD: Motion to have line item 22 to read 2163\$

All in favor and passed

Appropriation 42S Roll CALL VOTE

Appropriation 42S passes with a (3,0,1,1)

Seconded and passed **(AT AN AMOUNT OF 4531\$)**

Said organization will be able to attend and speak during the next full senate in the PUBLIC COMMENTS section.

E. Closing

a. Members of the Committee
None

b. SGAO
Good work, way to finish early tonight!

c. Vice Chair
Good job tonight!

d. Chair
None

F. Adjournment 8:30PM



ASUNM OUTREACH & EVENTS COMMITTEE AGENDA

03/05/2025

6:00PM

SUB JEMEZ

**Adrianna
Casaus**

Chair

**Greece
Trujillo**

Member

Aizza Rehman

Member

Mia Dragone

Member

**Dillon
Williams**
Vice Chair

Deja Griffin

Member

1) Opening

a. Call to Order

Call to order at 6:03pm

b. Land Acknowledgement

Founded in 1889, the University of New Mexico sits on the traditional homelands of the Pueblo of Sandia. The original peoples of New Mexico – Pueblo, Navajo, and Apache – since time immemorial, have deep connections to the land and have made significant contributions to the broader community statewide. We honor the land itself and those who remain stewards of this land throughout the generations and also acknowledge our committed relationship to Indigenous peoples. We gratefully recognize our history.

c. Roll Call

4 present met quarum, griffin and williams absent

d. Approval of Agenda



Approved

e. Approval of Minutes

Approved

2) Preliminary Business

a. Chair

Chair Casaus: Vice Chair absent, meeting will be temporarily suspended.

b. Vice Chair

None

c. Members of the Committee

None

d. Public Comment

None

e. Media

None

3) Old Business

None

4) New Business

Checked assignments in email, keep up to date with tasks.

April Fools event will be next Monday the 14th. Sen. Rehmann will check the availability of the Cactus Garden from 2:30-4:30. Senators will spend the meeting emailing all their contacts sent out by Chair Casaus for upcoming events and Student Success Week.

Worked on contacting all needed for future events.

5) Closing

a. Members of the Committee

None

b. Vice Chair

None

c. Chair

Chair Casaus: Send your emails and remember to stay on top of things. Repost and advertise flyers. Distribute flyers to resource centers.



6) Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 6:29pm